

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Pediatric Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPR_76775
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Nutrient Composition in Breast Milk of Breast feeding Mothers in Urban and Sub-urban Subjects in Rivers State
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Study design</li> <li>Sample size</li> <li>Source population</li> <li>Ethical approval /clearance</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The authors of this paper didn't clearly state which study design they used while conduct this study. Design the most important section in research project. So it would be fine if it describe well.</li> <li>In this manuscript I couldn't found the sections that describe the sample size. if we don't know the sample size, how can inference the sample statistics to population parameter ?sample size is very crucial and should calculate based on scientific formula .</li> <li>Source population what your research is try to generalise. So it would be great if you describe the source population.</li> <li>The authors didn't describe which concerned authority issued them Ethical approval/clearance prior to conducting this study .please clearly describe it</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Sampling technique</li> <li>Inclusion criteria.</li> <li>Analysis</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It needs some revision on your sampling procedure section. Some phrases the likes of,,simple randomized method would not appropriate for this study .so please amend accordingly .</li> <li>The phrase <b>subjects attending postnatal clinic</b> wouldn't be inclusion criteria because your study population is clients from postnatal clinic .so it would be fine if you amend it.</li> <li>Use past tense in some of your words in the inclusion criteria</li> <li>If your sample size is greater than 30 logistic regression would be fine.</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Alelign Alemu Demmelash</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Bonn, Germany</b>