



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Pregnancy and Childbirth
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPCB_56594
Title of the Manuscript:	An analysis of the factors that contribute to late booking for focused Antenatal Care (FANC) in Hartcliffe, Zimbabwe
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Title: It seems as if the title is NOT complete. FANC is done on WHO r which group of people?</p> <p>Abstract: Start with "The study aims....."</p> <p>Abstract-recommendations: Insert recommendations in the abstract.</p> <p>Keywords: Never put words in short form e.g "FANC"</p> <p>Citations: It's good to insert citations as superscript.</p> <p>Sections 2.1.3 (simple random sampling) and section 2.1.4 (every 3rd woman) are conflicting Section 2.2.1 is NOT complete. Which type of probability sampling did the researcher use?</p> <p>Section 3.2.2 : "Cohabiting women had a 3 times..." This sentence is NOT clear. Please make it clear. Check also section 3.2.3 (2.5 times), 3.2.4 (five and four times), 3.2.7 ((2.5, 4 and 8 times more), check also section 3.3.2,</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Was this research approved by the research and ethical body? If yes, this should be shown clearly in the section 2.1.1.</p> <p>Justify pages 1 to 6</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Good report if the corrections are effected.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Taratisio Ndwiga
Department, University & Country	Moi University, Kenya