



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_63437
Title of the Manuscript:	MEASURE OF MODIFIED ROTABILITY FOR SECOND ORDER RESPONSE SURFACE DESIGNS USING BALANCED INCOMPLETE BLOCK DESIGNS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The author gives a concrete introduction, organized research methodology, comprehensive analysis, and detailed result discussion of "Measure Of Modified Rotability for Second Order Response Surface Designs Using Balanced Incomplete Block Design." However, there are still some little problems in the paper. The author is suggested to make some revisions so that the paper will be better.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Abstract should not be less than 200 words containing background, objectives, significance, and relevance of the study. It should not contain abbreviated words such as "BIBD". Please write BIBD in full. Headings and subheadings should be written with initial capitals. Please include conclusion. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The objectives and significance of the study are clearly stated. <i>It would be very much ideal to revise your work and include conclusion.</i></p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>In my opinion, the paper is good on the whole. It is clearly written and well organized. The study purpose, method, result are all clearly illustrated. The tables are exact and make the study more convictive. I think the paper is suitable for publication if some corrections are made to make it better.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Casmir Chidiebere Onyeneke
Department, University & Country	Hezekiah University, Nigeria