



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_66736
Title of the Manuscript:	Orthopedic operation notes :What are we Missing .
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	A very well written Manuscript and a very good observational study. All the sections are very well explained. But the manuscript will become more useful to the readers if authors explains the complications/issues arises due to non-compliance to the RCS laid down protocols for operation notes writing. And please add to the manuscript the RCS operation notes format for the benefit of readers.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes as deficiencies in operation notes has been discussed which has to be as the protocols laid down by Tthe RCS	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Praveen Kumar Pandey
Department, University & Country	Command Hospital Eastern Command Kolkata, India