



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_52502
Title of the Manuscript:	COMPARISON OF FUNCTIONAL OUTCOME OF BOXERS FRACTURE TREATED WITH ANTEGRADE PREBENT K WIRE AND THOSE TREATED CONSERVATIVELY
Type of the Article	Case study

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<i>The article needs lots of correction in terms of grammatical and sentence restructuring.* There are no Xrays which shows the final healed results .Pics borrowed from AO should have a n acknowledgement.Overall.: The article could be considered after due revision.</i>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Yogesh Salphale</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Multispecialty Hospital, India</b>