

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Geological Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOGER_69694
Title of the Manuscript:	NEW DATA ON THE STRATIGRAPHY OF THE AMEKI GROUP, SE NIGERIA: IMPLICATIONS FOR THE EOCENE STRATIGRAPHIC NOMENCLATURE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>I have reviewed the Manuscript entitled: NEW DATA ON THE STRATIGRAPHY OF THE AMEKI GROUP, SE NIGERIA: IMPLICATIONS FOR THE EOCENE STRATIGRAPHIC NOMENCLATURE</p> <p>Manuscript Number: Ms_AJOGER_69694</p> <p>From a quantitative point of view, I appreciate well-structured procedures and results coherent with the purpose of the research. The goals, approaches, and conclusions of the paper are achieving what they set out to do. As a result, I found appropriate the matter of the manuscript and procedures proposed by the authors</p> <p>The paper's premise is sufficiently interesting and important to various uses and the completed materials and methods, appropriate; I agree that the data support the conclusions. Moreover, I consider that the paper has an appropriate length and the key messages are short, accurate, and clear.</p> <p>Finally, the qualitative research extends beyond the author's opinions, with sufficient descriptive elements and appropriate quotes from cited articles. I have examined the citations and I did not find any question with it.</p> <p>The authors are looking forward to your approval and in my opinion, the manuscript could be published after minor revision concerning grammar corrections.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Miguel Galván-Ruiz</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Autonomous University of Querétaro, México</i></b>