



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_68476
Title of the Manuscript:	HELMINTHOFAUNA OF THREE WILD ACHATINA SPECIES FROM A RURAL COMMUNITY OF SOUTH EASTERN NIGERIA: A POTENTIAL PUBLIC HEALTH PROBLEM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>It is clear that this study is about a huge problem facing an entire population, and it is spreading with the spread of snail consumption around the world as you have already mentioned.</p> <p>I found your work to be well planned and then well explained.</p> <p>You used a rather easy lexical field to easily deliver your idea to readers. Your ideas are clear, neat and well explained. The same goes for the work done.</p> <p>So, I noticed only two small typos:</p> <p>1) INTRODUCTION Line (1), word (1): "Archatina" = "Achatina"</p> <p>2) INTRODUCTION Last line (22): "Snail meat <u>in</u> commonly known" = "Snail meat <u>is</u> commonly known"</p> <p>On the other hand, for both tables (2) and (3), since you didn't draw any columns, the names of the (Snail species) were a bit out of step with their data.</p> <p>I had to draw the columns myself to make the separation and better understand the data entered there.</p> <p>I advise you to put vertical lines for better separation.</p> <p>Otherwise, everything seems to me well explained and well treated.</p> <p>Congratulations again and good luck with the rest.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Samar Chtiba
Department, University & Country	Institut Supérieur De l'Education Et De La Formation Continue, Bardo, Tunisia