

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_68884
Title of the Manuscript:	Radiological Diagnosis of Pediatric Pulmonary Infections and Follow up Protocols
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	IN THE ACTUAL FORM THE MANUSCRIPT IS NOT AN ORIGINAL ARTICLE. Article originality is biased by a number of publication related to this argument and moreover related to the COVID pandemia HRCT experience. As a matter of facts the X-rays follow-up should be performed not before a 4 weeks period of medical treatments for pneumonia and/or basically an "ex iuvantibus" criterion. As reported by the Authors a bronchitis is not requiring X-Rays and nonetheless CT scan. So imaging should be aimed to more complex clinical presentation and/or in the emergency scenario such like abscess diagnosis and or ruptured pneumatoceles with pneumothorax. In such of those cases an MRI fast scan with T2W imaging in the coronal plane can be of choice for pediatric patients.	
Minor REVISION comments	Please revise the dose exposure in MSCT scan. A 18 cm detector spans around 1 Sv for a 8 seconds scan on a pediatric thorax acquisition in fast low dose protocol.	
Optional/General comments	The endpoint of this article is not clear in the actual form. It looks like a limited experience in a national/subnational statistics. The protocols proposed in the international settings are reported as further limited experiences. Wider contribution from guidelines on pediatric imaging should be considered.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Guglielmo Manenti
Department, University & Country	University Of Rome Tor Vergata, Italy