



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_53325
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Biochemical Responses of Genetically-Male Tilapia (<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>) after Replacing fish oil with Plant-based oils in their Diets
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. The authors are recommended to obtain editing service from a professional company or a native English speaker, since there are so many grammatical errors. 2. The main conclusion of total replacement of fish oil by plant oils is wrong. It is reasonable to replace partially. The authors should pay attention to their tone in writing.	
Minor REVISION comments	The format of data presentation is always table. If possible, the authors are recommended to provide a figure for at least the Table 5, since it is the most important result.	
Optional/General comments	The authors evaluated biochemical responses of genetically male tilapia after replacing fish oil with various plant oils in the fish diet. The data are interesting, while the main conclusion is wrong (at least revision of total with partial is recommended). A major revision of the present manuscript is necessary.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Qiong Shi
Department, University & Country	University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, China