

Review form 1.6

Magazine name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript number:	Ms_AJESS_75725
Manuscript title:	SCHOOL ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS INFLUENCING POOR ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE OF GIRLS IN PUBLIC ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS IN THE MUNICIPALITY OF IRINGA
Item Type	Original Research Article

General guideline for the peer review process:

The peer review policy of this journal states that NO manuscript should be rejected solely for "lack of novelty", as long as the manuscript is scientifically sound and technically sound. For the complete guide to the peer review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

[Review form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review comments

	Reviewer comment	Author's comment (if you agree with the reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. Authors are required to write their comments here)
Mandatory REVIEW Comments	<p>Theme:Topic: It is raised in context and well defined.</p> <p>Summary:The summary presents the elements that it requires: the stated objective is pertinent and in accordance with the proposed topic; the method is explicit to the research presented; the conclusions reveal certain recommendations to take into account in line with the study carried out.</p> <p>Keywords: The keywords are worked with the Unesco Thesauros descriptor for better location of the work. I suggest you review it: Education, pedagogy, environment, society</p> <p>Introduction: The problem reveals the meaning of the proposed investigation; there are fillers in the text (it has been ...); review the citations in the introduction is incomplete (Kassimoto, 2008)... In the cited literature, the incomplete form of citation persists, that is, if the author is mentioned, in the end it must be cited where it comes from with the page number, in some cases it is evident and in others not, it must unify the form of citation please. I suggest that the topic of pest teachers be developed, which has not been developed, it is important for this study.</p> <p>Study methodology. It shows to be well planned, it would be important to refer to this section.</p> <p>Results and discussion of the findings:The results of the interview are well achieved; as long as the discussion shows that it is incomplete or is not visible: If it is an original article, this approach must be expressed or justified, the interpretation of the results obtained must be mentioned in this section, which must be properly referenced and in accordance with the analysis; the method used must be justified, the difficulties encountered in this study must be mentioned and finally, it is important to indicate the studies that can be carried out based on this research.</p> <p>Conclusions and recommendations:The conclusions usually show the main results found, statistical data is not necessary since they are worked on in the discussion. It is necessary to write in paragraphs for better visibility of them. It is not necessary to cite in this section.</p> <p>Bibliographic references:References are acceptable for this study, it is up to date.</p>	

Review form 1.6

Less REVIEW Comments		
Optional / General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical problems in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, please write here the ethical issues in detail)</i> The way of citing and the respective paraphrasing improves the level of coincidence of text.	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Jeverson Santiago Quishpe Gaibor</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Salesian Polytechnic University, Ecuador</i>