



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_66418
Title of the Manuscript:	WORKTEXT IN PRECALCULUS: EVALUATION AND ACCEPTABILITY
Type of the Article	Original Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The authors of this manuscript developed a worktext in precalculus that could be interesting. They assess their validity consulting 22 experts and teachers in the field. Nevertheless, from my point of view, there are certain issues that are missing in the manuscript</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ethical consent to conduct the research (14 calculus experts and 8 pre-calculus teachers participated) - A link so that the worktext can be accessed or an ISBN, ISSN, doi or some kind of identifier - Indicate as a limitation that only 22 participants were selected for the study - Report standard deviations in tables 1 and 2 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>It is a concern to me the fact that 14 calculus experts and 8 pre-calculus teachers participated in the study and nothing is mentioned about the ethical procedures followed in this research.</p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Lidon Moliner Miravet</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Universitat Jaume I, Spain</i>