



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_65742
Title of the Manuscript:	Teaching MBA and EMBA Students in Asia: Using Case Method and Online Education Software for Strategic Management
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The manuscript shows a relevant problem, and a very good way to solve it, with a good handling of the David Model. I suggest just make a few changes in the results section, showing some data, maybe statistical (frecuencies, mean, scores) or cualitative data (examples of student's quotes) to go beyond of the author's affirmations like " The results were excellent; MBA and EMBA students can use the David's Strategy-Formulation Analytical Framewor", and support it with some data.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	José-Manuel Meza-Cano
Department, University & Country	Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, México