



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_64854
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative Analysis of Sindh Education Foundation (SEF) and Private Educational Institutions: A case study of District Khairpur
Type of the Article	Review Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>This manuscript has a relevant topic, of great importance for the evaluation of educational institutions in Pakistan.</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- It is essential that the authors re-evaluate the title of the article, at no time do you make it clear how the study was directed to the Khairpur District.</li> <li>- Clarify the methodology used, how were the results of topic 3 achieved?</li> <li>- I recommend reviewing the Introduction so that it addresses not only the Private Educational Institutions, but also the SEF and the proposed and indicated place of the study (District Khairpur).</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p>In all topics (introduction, literature review, ...) the first sentence should be more attractive. Try to avoid starting the first sentence of the topic with a reference quote.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<p>Please, check all the comments and suggestions on the file.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Fênix Alexandra de Araujo</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Gonçalo Moniz Institute, Brazil</i></b>