



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_63759
Title of the Manuscript:	From hypotheses and working scenarios to arguments aimed at alternating traditional training with computer-assisted training
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Nil	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Results and Discussion section claims are not their work, rather other's claims. If it is a theoretical analysis, it can be accepted, if not some statistics or results in the form of graphs shall be presented. More references shall be cited	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The manuscript is well written, but lack novelty. Computer Assisted technology has been there for more than five to six decades and the authors try to re-iterate their benefits inspite of the entire world knows about it.	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	S.S.Sridhar
Department, University & Country	SRM Institute of Science and Technology, India