



SDI Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJESS_63759 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | From hypotheses and working scenarios to arguments aimed at alternating traditional training with computer-assisted training |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| Compulsory REVISION comments | | |
| Minor REVISION comments | <p>The paper is well written and discusses the topic of computer-assisted learning in a comprehensive manner . The paper touched on the positives and negatives of this experience. But here are some observations that could make the paper better:</p> <p>1) reviewing related work:No recent studies related to the research topic were presented. It would have been more appropriate to add sources and present modren researches that discusses this phenomenon, especially that the year 2020 represents the height of the need to use e-learning , thus the reader can determine the addition made by this research.</p> <p>2) There are some aspects that I wish had been discussed in the paper: -Cheating: Have teachers reported cheating cases in e-learning and have they controlled it? -The role of mobile phones or tablets: can the educational process be managed using devices such as mobile or tablets?</p> | |
| Optional/General comments | In 3.3, There is a paragraph written in the researcher's language (Romanian), then it was rewritten in English. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | <i>Noor Kadhim Ayoob</i> |
| Department, University & Country | <i>University of Babylon, Iraq</i> |