

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Environment &amp; Ecology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_69363
Title of the Manuscript:	Study Case : Productivity of Caught Fish in Locations Around the Construction of Coal Power Plant, Jepara Indonesia
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Structure:</b> Fair; Paper is well organized but still needs improvement <b>English:</b> Poor; There are many grammatical errors	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<b>Relevance:</b> Fair; The research topic is slightly relevant to the conference theme <b>Novelty:</b> Fair; Novelty is sufficient and high impact <b>Analysis:</b> Fair; Discussion of the results is sufficient. More analysis is needed <b>Referencing:</b> Fair; The supporting references is lacking and fairly organized	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<b>Overall Review:</b> English needs a lot of revisions and a thorough check. There are some grammatical errors and untranslated words. In the introduction, it is necessary to explain the achievements of the research objectives. In the results and discussion, it is recommended to perform data processing by testing the data linearity, validity, and reliability.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Safri
Department, University & Country	Politeknik STMI Jakarta, Indonesia