



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_47234
Title of the Manuscript:	ISSUES AND APPLICATION OF LINEARIZED MODELS IN GEOMORPHIC PROPERTIES OF THE IKPA WATERSHED, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The abstract could be rewritten in order to summarize the general procedure without being so detailed (avoid using numbers, values or brackets in the Abstract).</p> <p>Support the research hypothesis by adding some relevant references.</p> <p>In the "Results and Discussions" part the author(s) could:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - rephrase the title "The Effect of Variation in Independent Variables on the dependent Variable " - explain further the results (describe values) - describe ANOVA model in more detail and add relevant references - analyze more the phrase "Considering the results, the null hypothesis is rejected and alternative hypothesis is accepted" (why?) - rephrase the 5th paragraph and discuss in more detail Figure 2. <p>In general, the author(s) could try to present the procedure-steps more clearly and support the reason why the certain methods were chosen.</p> <p>In the "Summary and Conclusion" part:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - no need to present again the equation here - elaborate more on the last paragraph <p>The English grammar and syntax need to be improved in some parts of the text.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The introduction is quite extensive.</p> <p>References in the text could be mentioned using the name of the author(s) before the corresponding number when it is necessary (e.g. Instead of: "To (12, 14, 15), the terrain..." →"According to...")</p> <p>In the beginning of the 3rd paragraph of the introduction: "The effect of landscape morphology on the lithology, climate, and life..." where does the word 'life' refer to?</p> <p>Check again the DOI links in the references (some of them do not work)</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Although a great deal of effort was put in this study and the paper is informative regarding the issues and application of linearizes models in geomorphic properties of the Ikpa watershed in Nigeria, certain improvements should be performed to the manuscript prior to its publication. In addition, more attention should be paid in English grammar and syntax.</p>	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Aikaterini Karagianni</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece</i>