



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_60271
Title of the Manuscript:	The Influence of Diversity of Public Facilities in Multi-Family Residential on Transaction Price
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ Title needs modification as the study is not only about diversity... it can be like "The Influence of Public Facilities on the Transaction Price of Multi-Family Residential in Xitun District" ✓ Objective of the study shall be consistent with the title and what is written in the methodology part ✓ Some variables are not clear as to how they are measured: story, age, public area ✓ In measuring diversity score, importance of each item doesn't seem to be considered in the methodology ✓ Variable descriptions are not very informative, measurement units and type of variable are missed. ✓ Recommendation is missed 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✓ In identification of variables, how do you manage the influence of external factors like the purchasing power, overall economic and political situations, policy shocks if any ✓ Equations are put in a very extended forms, shall be written in a more condensed forms ✓ If buildings are constructed in different periods, how do you adjust for inflation ✓ Data source and method of obtaining data missed 	
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ The findings are good, but the language use and general presentations of tables needs to be more attractive ❖ Summary shall be more informative and precise ❖ Analysis of the findings ✓ Better to put it in tabular form, more clear to understand! ✓ Very Little details shall be removed ✓ Measurement units are not clear, for instance how do you measure story 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Zelalem Yimenu Bizuneh
Department, University & Country	Hawassa University, Ethiopia