

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_69066
Title of the Manuscript:	The Use of Conventional and Mobile Application in Removable Partial Denture Designing
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>A. Article file</p> <p>1. Introduction:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Expand with latest studies as the depth of the review/background is shallow with the interest of study. b. Purpose of the study missing at the end. <p>2. Material and Methods:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Sample description is not reflected pertaining to estimation, size, and distribution. b. Can add pictures/ flow charts to represent the methodology. c. Link the Likert rating scale. d. Statistical analysis inquiry adds more meaning. <p>3. Results:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Separate results from discussion briefly and elaborate with tables reflecting in parenthesis and tables/ pictures as separate self-explanatory uploads. b. Last table is not linked and even missing the table number in the discussion. <p>4. Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Field of study comparison study references needs to be considered. b. Variables are not linked with the mean, standard deviation and the respective comparison differences. <p>5. References:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. Proper article/ book/ chapter in book citation format is to be considered. <p>Overall: "Good and incomparable study", Improve the scientific presentation</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>A. Abstract:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Study design: Reflect the sample size details. 2. Results: Statistical values of variables will add value to results. 	
Optional/General comments	<p>A. Limitations: Make a note on the limitations of the study.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Karnati Praveen kumar Reddy
Department, University & Country	SEGI University, Malaysia