

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_72532
Title of the Manuscript:	PERFORATED MARGINAL ULCER AS A COMPLICATION OF PANCREATODUODENECTOMY
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>a) Authors placed a good number of statements without proper citations.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. "The most common early complication is delayed gastric emptying, which may appear up to 30% of patients, and generally results in longer hospital stays than the standard Whipple procedure." 2. High blood pressure and diabetes also increase ulcer risk in some studies, although their causal relationship is unclear. 3. The ulcer can be presented early, seen in a control endoscopy after the first postoperative month, and late marginal ulcer, evidenced after the first year. The most frequent symptoms were digestive bleeding and abdominal pain. The best performing diagnostic method was endoscopy. When diagnosed, in most cases they respond to treatment with PPIs, which can lead to stenosis due to scarring and consequent obstruction if the treatment is not effective, requiring in this case endoscopic dilations 4. The laparoscopic approach is feasible. Mortality rate is 10%. <p>b) No statement regarding informed consent of the pt</p> <p>c) Check Ref. 4 & 5</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>Sentence making is a bit unusual.</p> <p>"It is performed direct suture and omental patch. Postoperative without complications and was discharged 8 days after surgery."</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Author has to inform regarding the "informed consent" from the patient	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Irin Perveen</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Enam Medical College, Bangladesh</i>