



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRS_56533
Title of the Manuscript:	Gastro-Tracheal Fistula: A rare late complication following Laryngo-Pharyngo-Esophagectomy
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>It's a very interesting case that shows another way to solve a complex situation.</p> <p>Nevertheless, the use of English grammar and some words are quite difficult to understand. Its highly recommended to send the manuscript to expert people focused on grammar, orthography and write style.</p> <p>Could be useful to explain why to delay oral intake, I assume that the saliva was not diverted, so which was the reason to wait 3 weeks after surgery.</p> <p>When your refer to "described that the fistula was a result of tracheitis", I can't understand if the biopsy confirms the inflammation or if that was secondary to a biopsy procedure.</p> <p>The technique must be reproducible, so the description on a novel approach have to be extremely carefully listed. For example, the flaps were obtained from another incision? A plastic surgeon did those flaps? Which material was used to stitch them? Did you used any kind of biological glue?</p> <p>Could be very useful to add photos of the procedure to have a better idea of this.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	correcting misspellings and grammar is mandatory	
Optional/General comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Leonardo Toscano Rubio
Department, University & Country	Military Hospital, Montevideo, Uruguay