



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Case Reports in Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJCRMH_60228
Title of the Manuscript:	Plasmablastic lymphoma: a challenging diagnosis
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This is a very well documented and well written observation. The article is scientifically highly rated because it confirms that the pathology mentioned remains fearsome.	
Minor REVISION comments	The case presentation in the abstract section is too detailed. It should be succinct and lead the reader to explore the content.	
Optional/General comments	No comment	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> We hope that the authors have got the authorisation of the patient's relative to write this document.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Seto Djifid Morel
Department, University & Country	University of Abomey Calavi, Benin