



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biochemistry, Genetics and Molecular Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGMB_66394
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of the Phytochemicals, Nutritional and Anti-nutritional Compositions of Fresh, Sprouted and Toasted Citrullus lanatus (Watermelon) Seeds
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Introduction: Not enough literature have been reviewed. Major focus of introduction is on fats on contrary article focuses on phytochemicals. Introduction part should be revised to state previous studies relevant to focal point of this study. Gaps have not been written? Why this study was necessary. Author fails to clearly stat the objectives, importance and gaps of this study.</p> <p>Method: How many replications and fruits were used? What was statistical design? RCBD or CRD? Add the variety used. How many days old fresh sprouts were used?</p> <p>Conclusion: what are anti-nutrients? No data related to anti-nutrients and it relation to other metabolites have been discussed. This cannot be your conclusion. Rewrite the conclusion part to tell what you got from this research.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Last paragraph of introduction should be in the start. Article suffers from English grammar, spelling and typos mistakes.</p> <p>Remove the values from discussion section.</p>	
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Aslam
Department, University & Country	University of Agriculture, Pakistan