



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biotechnology and Genetic Engineering
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJBGE_54747
Title of the Manuscript:	ACCESSORY MACHINE FOR MONOPHASE COMPLEX AGRO TECHNOLOGY
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The article has many typing error and repeating phrases such as : "Although no one has foreseen such longevity, no one has foreseen such longevity, he has remained almost indescribable.....". "....will provide capillary, faster faster germination and reduce the loss of humidity,". ".....development and fertility of the plant through the motor drill of the conventional conventional methods." Writer needs to check this writing comprehensively. 2. The article does not written according to the format because the fonts' size, words spacing and others are not constant. 3. The article does not show any citation in the text. It is supposed to have citation from the references. Such as "In addition, so various technical improvements and substitutions were also carried out on the tools: plows and harrows with combined grinders, and the like (reference). " 4. The title of this article is accessory machine for monophase complex agrotechnology but the content elaborate more on the study of single-phase complex agrotehnika. State the difference between this two machines. 5. This article should be rephrased and proofread since it is hard for the reader to understand what it's trying to convey. 6. The abstract should be written in one paragraph. The content in abstract must state the problems and what the mechanism or system is used to solve the problem. The methods and results should be written technically and not in generally. Writer should give the results in percentage rather than words to show accuracy. 7. Keyword should be written in single word. 8. Writer had mentioned "national patent of BiH since 2009". Please state the reference of this statement. 9. In Introduction, the awards should be written in a paragraph rather than point forms. 10. The methods in this article is to general and lack of engineering design process. It should be written technically. For example, it should have the material selection, components that have been used and the mechanism/system that are used. 11. The advantages of the machine should be written in subtopic results/discussion. 12. Please describe the function of immobile and movement part in subtopic description of work. The components of immobile and movement part can be written in subtopic material/methods. 13. For technical possibility, writer should create new subtopic "results/discussion". In this subtopic, writer can elaborate the condition of the soil, compactness and desired granulations and also the quality of soil treatment. 	



SDI Review Form 1.6

	<p>14. The references are too old and not relevant. The references are also lack of information. Please follow the standard format to state the reference.</p> <p>15. There is a word “neobradjenotlo” in figure 1. Does it related to the figure? Please remove if it is not necessary.</p> <p>16. In description of work subtopic, the writer wrote “fig 1 and fig 2 are single-phase complex agrotehnika”, but the caption for figure 2 says it is monophase complex agrotechnology. Which one is right?</p> <p>17. Conclude the findings related to your design outcome and what the results from your analysis.</p> <p>18. Is this an original research article? I have found one paper similarly to this article in Bosnian language (publish from POLJOPRIVREDNA TEHNIKA , 2009. Author Zoran Š. Veselinović)</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This article is more to the report writing and the author have not provided any related theory and design process. In methodology and discussion the author does not give sufficient details. All the references are not stated in the text. The contents are not in good arrangement and the sentences are difficult to read. Therefore, the manuscript need to be proofread since there is a lot of grammar mistakes and the explanations are not very clear. Furthermore, the author does not follow the standard format in writing the article. Figures in this article should have citation from references because the figures are same in the paper publish by POLJOPRIVREDNA TEHNIKA , 2009. Author Zoran Š. Veselinović. If you have any declaration, you have to mention it.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

<p>Name:</p>	<p>Zulhishamuddin Abd Rahman</p>
<p>Department, University & Country</p>	<p>Politeknik Sultan Haji Ahmad Shah, Malaysia</p>