



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_61140
Title of the Manuscript:	Resource Use Efficiency among Maize Farmers in Lere Local Government Area of Kaduna State, Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Author may explain the reasons, why to select an sample size of 24, 20 and 12 and then multiple it by 2, 2 and 1, even sampling methodology can be included. • In reference of 10 authors name must be included in the text. • Author must justify why to take less than 1 ha and than 1 ha, which is contradictory. • Reference 10 was included three times in the text is not required. • 72.20 per cent and significant level both are missing in the table, so it must be included in the table. • Better to drop land (x_1) factor since return is less than 1.00 as output • It will be good to write / explain about the selected inputs viz; x_2, x_3, x_4 and x_5 • Year is missing in reference 04, must be included. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Few spelling and spacing must be maintain to meet the quality of Journal	
Optional/General comments	As water is an important factor in agriculture, so It will be good to include it.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Amod Sharma
Department, University & Country	Nagaland University, India