



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_59211
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Mixed and Multiplicative Models when Trend Cycle Component is Linear
Type of the Article	Original Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There are no compulsory revision comments	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Section 2.2 Estimation of trend parameters and seasonal indices In this section, in many places, the equal sign is placed without space after and before [e.g. (b=0)]. There should be a space before and after the mathematical sign +, -, >, <.</p> <p>Section 3. "Real Life Data" you are referring to the time series plots of actual and transformed data sets presented in figure 1 and 2 without giving any interpretation, please provide an explanation for these two figures.</p> <p>There is no result section. The author, at least, use the real-life data section as a results section.</p> <p>The content presented in the Discussion section does not include information from the application such as figure 1 and 2.</p> <p>Conclusion: last line: "A quadratic function of the column j and square of the seasonal effect S_j^2 for multiplicative model." This sentence was incomplete.</p>	
Optional/General comments	The abstract of this study is appropriate and follows a logical structure. The title is sufficiently informative and concise. The introduction clearly states relevance of the findings. Overall rate: The author does not need to make major changes as the overall paper follows a logical order. written in line with the intended audience.	



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Hamid H. Hussien</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>King Abdulaziz University, Saudi Arabia</i>