



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Advanced Research and Reports
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJARR_59211
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparison of Mixed and Multiplicative Models when Trend Cycle Component is Linear
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	-In scientific writing, each paragraph should contain an indentation	
Minor REVISION comments	-It is advisable to divide the Abstract into parts or paragraphs Introduction, discussion, the most important results, the most important recommendations -Results are written in points according to the goals that have been set -Conclusion is separated from the results - Write a title for the appendices after the references Or the title of references (references and appendices)	
Optional/General comments	-If there are any recommendations mentioned in points	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Feriaa Ahmed Elbashir Mohamed
Department, University & Country	Jeddah University, Saudi Arabia