

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_75930
Title of the Manuscript:	Appraisal of Soil Health Card scheme on change in cultivation practices of sali rice in Darrang district, Assam
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Abstract must be precise and according to the journal guidelines within 200 words without any sub-heading 2. In methodology part the place and duration of the study must be added 3. In methodology, the author must mentioned the time lag between introduction and adoption of SHC i.e. in which year the SHC was distributed and after what time gap, the study was conducted to see the change 4. The researcher should include a table for rate of adoption over the time period of introduction and investigation 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Researcher should include and clarify the tangible as well as intangible impact of SHC on farmers livelihood along with a table if possible 2. In introduction et al. should be in Italic form 3. In table 4, the problem no. 2 and problem no.4 are seemed to be same. Use appropriate title for the above two problems 	
Optional/General comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Table 1 has no relevancy if the impact of SHC is not done respect of adoption rate of SHC recommendation, tangible or overall positive change in income aspect and changes in respect to awareness and empowerment. 2. Plag check report is not included. Hence it must be verify the by the authority 	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> Yes, but the author has not clarified in details in terms of adoption, magnitude and social empowerment aspect.	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gobinda Mula
Department, University & Country	Uttar Banga Krishi Viswavidyalay, India