

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_72712
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Cage Culture Practices in Chandil Reservoir, Jharkhand
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Dear authors, Thank you for your submission. This is interesting work with interesting results. I have read your manuscript and I have some concerns. They are listed below: 1. In the abstract section, to make it easier for readers to understand the contents of the paper, you can use the pattern: problem (background problem) --> objectives (the solutions you offer can be mentioned here) --> results and discussion --> conclusions. 2. Please mention clearly how can other authors and future researchers benefit from this research. 3. It is recommended to update cited papers (2016-2021) and increase the number of cited papers.	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Rustam
Department, University & Country	Telkom University, Indonesia