

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_69196
Title of the Manuscript:	Financial feasibility of poultry layer farms in Chittoor district, India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>An interesting read – however, few changes can make the paper well organized and exciting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Refer to few latest papers - also see if studies are done in other parts of the world and match the findings in India - Pakistan is there but if you can consider other countries and the methodology adopted in other papers and reason out its use, especially the IRR or discount rates</li> <li>Policy Implication would add more value to the paper, especially for small and medium farms.</li> <li>Intext referencing should be appropriately inserted</li> <li>Include a conclusion paragraph for each section – summarizing your discussion and way forward</li> <li>Check Grammar and sentence structure and make changes as needed – some sentences are very vague and gives an unclear picture of the discussion</li> </ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Refer to the document for the required changes</li> </ul>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The above changes can make the paper well organized and ready for a good read.</li> </ul>	

**Review Form 1.6**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p> <p>If the data has been taken from a published source, then there is no ethical issue; however if the data is collected, then there is a need to consider the ethics – its unclear through the methodology – request if the author can highlight on this.</p>	

As per the guideline of editorial office we have followed VANCOUVER reference style for our paper.

Kindly see the following link:

<http://sciencedomain.org/archives/20>

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shabnam Sazma Bano</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Fiji National University, Fiji</b>