



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_67629
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on the Socioeconomic Profile of Self Help Groups Established under NRLM in the Jammu Region
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	This is an interesting article based on a research approach to the self-help groups and the characteristics of their members. At first, it is necessary to create a section that will be referred to as a literature review, perhaps adapted to the introduction with data from other international researches on similar issues. The fact that features data from persons that involved to this mobilization ,attribute a value for the reader to understand the context as far as the socioeconomic profile of SHGs established under NRLM in the Jammu Region. I would suggest for the material – methods section to be more extensive by adding elements for the duration and the date of the personal interviews. Also in this section it would be ideal to add additional references that enhance the selection of the specific methodological approach. Regarding the next part and concerning the Results-Discussion I would suggest adding some more critical comments as far as the findings for each category. Finally, it will be necessary a comparison of study's data with other corresponding researches.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	More references for the NRLM program should be included	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Sotiris Apostolopoulos</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Peloponnese, Greece</b>