



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_67629
Title of the Manuscript:	A Study on the Socioeconomic Profile of Self Help Groups Established under NRLM in the Jammu Region
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>The paper is interesting, but needs to improve some important issues:</p> <p>1 – The main objectives and contributions of the paper should be presented in a clear way.</p> <p>2 – The abstract should present the main goal and explain what is SHGs</p> <p>3 – The Introduction is a mix between context and literature review. I am ok about that, but the purpose of this research work is not clear, neither the way to get that.</p> <p>4 – The sampling process is quite confused. Please explain it better. Is this sample representative from the population?</p> <p>5 – Why is evaluated the association of caste and other variables? If this is an important variable, authors should explain why.</p> <p>6 – The data analysis is quite poor and I really don't get the point. If the authors really want to describe these groups, they should use groups classifications and possibly, discriminant analysis to understand if there are variables that distinguish the groups.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Andreia Dionísio</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidade de Évora, Portugal</b>