



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics &amp; Sociology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_59494
Title of the Manuscript:	Development of a test to measure the knowledge level of small tea growers on scientific tea cultivation practices
Type of the Article	

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>1. The background is still weak. In the background, it must be conveyed (1) why is this research important to do? if no research is conducted then what are the negative impacts, (2) What field facts? and the results of similar studies conducted by other researchers.</p> <p>2. There is no discussion yet, researchers should discuss their findings, why is the knowledge of tea farmers like that. To strengthen the findings, the writer can use the theory or the results of previous studies. Discussion is the core of the article, therefore these findings must be discussed in depth</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	Need to explain the meaning of the numbers in the table in the discussion section, why is that?	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	In general, this article should be improved, especially in the introduction and discussion to make it more quality	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Moses Kopong Tokan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University of Nusa Cendana, Indonesia</b>