



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_57079
Title of the Manuscript:	Improving technical support to enhance productivity of rural community in Boloso Sore and Boloso Bombe Woredas
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Revise the objective of the study from abstract: state the main objective, introduction: clear the objective at the end of the section. 2. Introduction: not clear on the discussion towards the main objective. Revise. 3. Methodology: you collected information from HHs, KII and FGD. How did you select the HHs? What was the method of selection? Explain also the instruments you used to collect data. You used questionnaire survey for HHs, and two set of checklists for FGD and KII. Explain these clearly in the methodology. 4. Results and discussions: You have the section of socioeconomic information. This does not provide relevant information to your main objective. It seems if you remove, nothing will change. Additionally, the following sections sound like story telling. There is no appropriate acknowledgement of the text you use. The fact is that you use the text from other authors but you don't acknowledge= Plagiarism. You can see even on the text where I provided a link where you took information from other. Acknowledge information. 5. Revise thoroughly the English language in your text. Give the text someone who knows better the language than yourself. Revise the sentence structure. Write simple sentences and clear. The way the text is cannot be comprehensive. 	
Minor REVISION comments	Check on the text	
Optional/General comments	Check on the text	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Inacio Cipriano
Department, University & Country	University of Eduardo Mondlane, Mozambique