



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_55598
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESS THE RURAL YOUTH EXTENT OF PARTICIPATION IN RURAL DEVELOPMENTAL ACTIVITIES COIMBATORE DISTRICT OF TAMIL NADU - AN OVERVIEW.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. What is the objective of the research? Kindly mention that clearly before starting a research paper. 2. Data collection done is good but only percentage analysis is not enough for a good research paper, there should be diagrams and tests to prove or disprove objectives (which is not clearly mentioned in the paper) 3. Referencing should be done alphabetically and properly. 4. Spelling of afforestation is wrong in multiple places. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Lots of grammatical mistakes. 2. Many facts are repetitive. It seems that author has written the same thing again and again to increase the number of words. 	
Optional/General comments	Not an impressive paper. Have to work harder on the analysis and writing skill part.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anwasha Chattopadhyay
Department, University & Country	University of Calcutta, India