



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_52358
Title of the Manuscript:	Analyzing Demographic Context of Rural Households by Food Poverty level: A case of Humbo District, Southern Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>I think the authors should use the term “food security/insecurity” instead of “food poverty”. http://blogs.worldbank.org/developmenttalk/food-security-and-poverty-a-precarious-balance</p> <p>In INTRODUCTION: talk about the World Bank Definition of Poverty and then talk about how poverty can lead to Food Insecurity in general and then how it relates to rural Ethiopia</p> <p>You should add in the article: Understanding the link between Poverty and Food Insecurity among children: Does the definition of poverty matter? By Wight et al., 2014 in both the introduction and discussion (this is for the United States but I think it might be useful)</p> <p>Graph 1: should be changed to a bar graph or even a stacked bar graph instead</p> <p>For all of the demographic data this would be better visualized using Age Structure and Age Pyramid diagrams instead (https://www.thoughtco.com/age-structure-definition-3026043) because this can split up males and females and different age groups (children, head of households, elderly) for the poor and non-poor families.</p> <p>Questions: are the “head of households” all working adults? Do only men work outside the home or do both men and women work outside the home? Do the children work as well? Why did the cost of food go up so much?</p> <p>You need to greatly expand on the Conclusions and Recommendations: -the difference in number of dependents for poor and non-poor families The Poor families have MORE people to feed and they have less money so that would greatly contribute to food insecurity</p> <p>Recommendations: increase education, increase the pay wage for agricultural jobs, microloans to stimulate the local economy</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Line 28: I would remove the term “shopping basket”	
Optional/General comments	Include a map of the study area	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Kristian Demary</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Massachusetts College of Art and Design, USA</i>