



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_49379
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review on Weaving and its socio-cultural values in Ethiopia
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The Review Article entitled "A Review on Weaving and its socio-cultural values in Ethiopia" is very interesting article. However, the article must be further improved before publication. I wonder why not even a single figure is not drawn by the author(s). At least they could draw some schematics or tables. There must be a schematic diagram added to the manuscript. As without the good representation, it does not look like a good review paper. It looks more like a class assignment.</p> <p>The authors must be more dedicated to research and must learn to present their research using modern software. To me, only removing plagiarism using some software can't be called a review paper. Therefore, I suggest the authors draw some eye-catching diagram to be added to this publication.</p> <p>Moreover, there are several English mistakes in this article. Being a reviewer, it's not my job to identify each of it, however, to facilitate authors, I have highlighted a few of them mentioned here. I suppose the other similar mistakes can be identified and corrected by the authors themselves. A few mistakes are listed here below.</p> <p>Line 37, check spelling "handicraft" or "handcraft".</p> <p>Line 63 Replace "Few researches" with "types of research". It appears that researches is an uncountable noun and should not be made plural. Consider changing the noun.</p> <p>Line 65 It appears that the preposition "among" may be incorrect in this context. Consider changing it</p> <p>Line 97 it appears that your sentence or clause uses an incorrect form of the verb "depend". Consider changing it with "depending"</p> <p>Line 120 "Those who did not engaged in weaving and those engaged but less than five years of weaving experience". Always use the first form of the verb in a negative sentence of past indefinite tense.</p> <p>Line 142, "netella, gabi, kemis, scarf and kuta" Use capitals.</p> <p>Line 175 the singular verb "mediates" does not appear to agree with the plural subject "artifacts". Consider changing the verb form for subject-verb agreement.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	Add some more related and updated references.	
Optional/General comments	The article may also be reviewed by a native English speaker with good knowledge of academic writing in the field of Textiles.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hafeezullah Memon
Department, University & Country	Donghua University, China