



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_57084
Title of the Manuscript:	CORROSION INHIBITION OF PIPELINE STEEL IN 0.5 M HCL USING COTYLEDON OF CHRYSOPHYLLUM CAINITO.
Type of the Article	Research

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Cost of corrosion was given for 2013. The information needs to be updated. - The authors must give the chemical composition of the pipeline steel. Which steel was studied? It is very important. - The authors said that : increase in temperature increased the weight loss progressively from 303 to 333 k. Thus, Fig 1 was changed with Fig 2. Fig. 2 showed lower weight loss values at 333 K than Fig 1 at 303 K. - Please, correct: It is SEM micrograph and not microgram - Which component of the Chrysophyllum specie should have an effective action in inhibiting corrosion? The mechanism is by adsorption, but the authors must make it clear which groups of the extract components bind more easily in iron hydroxides, for example. 	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>English needs revision. Please, correct: grades of emery papers (200 to 1000 mesh) Usually, the graphs indicate mass loss per area versus time.</p>	
Optional/General comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Vanessa de Freitas Cunha Lins
Department, University & Country	Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Brazil