



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_56960
Title of the Manuscript:	Analytical Method Development and Validation for Estimation of Silymarin in tablet dosage form by HPLC
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The yellow highlights in the manuscript should be revised. Authors should highlight the changes made in green. Spacing must be formatted. References must be standardized according to established standards. There are more relevant references than those from 10 to 18 to explain the parameter accuracy. The chromatograms in figures 1 to 3 should be shown overlapping. It is impossible to assess the way it is.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	---	
Optional/General comments	The yellow highlights in the manuscript should be revised.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ana Carolina Kogawa
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Goiás, Brazil