



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_54376
Title of the Manuscript:	Synthesis, Characterization, Antibacterial and Thermal Studies of Cu(II) Complex of Thiophene-2- aldehyde Semicarbazone
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Page 1. Line 12 should read "...studies, the copper complex..." Page 1. Line 13. The sentence "This result....conductivity" should be omitted because it is repetitive. Page 1. Line 16 should read "...screened to reflect that the complex has higher antimicrobial activity than the free ligand." Page 1. Line 25, left column, should read "...their convenient routes of synthesis." Page 1. Line 28, left column, should read "...[1-3]. In the last two decades,...." Page 2. Line 23, left column, should read "The melting points of the ligand,..." Page 2. Line 27, left column. What are the specifications of the melting point apparatus? Page 5. Line 8, left column, should read "...also support the proposed..." Page 10. The name of the journal must be capitalized consistently. What are references 18 and 30? Thesis? More details must be given. 	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pipat Chooto
Department, University & Country	Prince of Songkla University, Thailand