



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Applied Chemistry Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJACR_52341
Title of the Manuscript:	Towards greener preservation of edible oils, A mini-review
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	<p>Reviewer's comment This article consists of 8 total pages. Abstract 0,5 page introduction 1 page and other 4 pages conclusion 6 lines, Reference 2 pages, Title: It is suitable for working. Key Words: It is suitable for working. Abstract: The study is enough. Introduction. Some sentences have been copied from the internet page. it is better if these sentences are rewritten. Conclusions: In this section, no recommendations are given to the results of table1 References: 50 references used. But not 1, 31 in articles</p> <p>More medicinal plant samples should have been included</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	<p>Reviewer's comment</p>	<p>Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</p>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Eray Tulukcu
Department, University & Country	University of Selcuk, Turkey