

DEVELOPMENT OF AN AUTOMATED SOLAR POWERED HOT-AIR SUPPLEMENTED DRYER

ABSTRACT

The world is facing two major challenges: one is to meet the exponential growing demand for energy particularly in developing and underdeveloped countries and other is to deal with global, regional and local environmental impacts resulting from supply and use of conventional energy. The cost-effective technology for solar drying that can be easily adopted among the rural farmers of developing countries needs to be developed in areas where solar energy is abundantly available. As cheap as the solar energy could be, there are associated problems with the stability of the energy for different purposes due to instability of climatic conditions. For this research, a solar powered hot-air supplemented dryer (SPHSD) with a capacity of 20 kg of sliced yam was designed and developed. The SPHSD has three sections which are solar collector chamber, drying chamber and hot-air supplement chamber which is powered with two 150-watt solar panel and a 200 amps solar battery for continuous operation during bad weather. All data were logged digitally for accuracy and test was done using yam slices. Difference in drying time and stability in drying temperature was evaluated using SPHSD and indirect solar dryer. The result shows stability of temperature in the drying chamber when SPHSD was used while the drying temperature fluctuates throughout the indirect solar drying test period. Drying experiment was conducted for 481 minutes (between 0910 hrs to 1713 hrs) reducing the moisture content from 71.91 %, 72.1 % and 72.8 % to 27.95 %, 25.78 % and 28.23 % for MC₁, MC₂ and MC₃ in wet basis respectively. Drying experiment was conducted for 832 minutes (between 0901 hrs to 2257 hrs) reducing the moisture content from initial moisture content levels of 72.66 %, 71.48 % and 71.48 % to 13.47 %, 12.53 % and 12.54 % for MC₁, MC₂ and MC₃ in wet basis respectively.

Keywords: Solar dryer, solar controlled hot-air supplemented dryer, indirect dryer, drying chamber, solar collector.

1. INTRODUCTION

An analysis of the present situation of world agriculture according to [1] shows a completely contrasting situation between industrialized and developing countries. This disparity has greatly influenced the possibilities of utilizing solar energy in agriculture especially in crop preservation, processing and storage. In developing countries like Nigeria, the possibilities of utilizing solar energy are economically feasible compared with its use in industrialized countries. High solar insolation, decentralized use, and the low energy demand favor its use in the country.

Solar thermal technology is a technology that is rapidly gaining acceptance as an energy saving measure in agriculture application. It is preferred to other alternative sources of energy such as wind and shale, because it is abundant, inexhaustible, and non-polluting [2]. It is a major driver of holistic development in any nation of the world. Adequate access to energy is crucial for the social wellbeing and economic transformation of any state [3]. With very few exceptions, the developing countries are situated in climatic zones of the world where the insolation is considerably higher than the world average of 3.82 kWh/m² day.




FIGURE 1. Total horizontal solar insolation for some developing countries

42
43

44 In recent years, attempts have been made to develop solar dryers that can be used in agricultural
45 activities in developing countries. Sun drying is still the most common method used to preserve
46 agricultural products in most tropical and subtropical countries. However, being unprotected from rain,
47 wind-borne dirt and dust, infestation by insects, rodents and other animal, products may be seriously
48 degraded to the extent that sometimes become inedible and result in loss of food quality.

49 Another significant limitation of solar dryer is that it can only be used during the daytime when there is
50 adequate solar radiation leading to limited production, and moreover it can result in an inferior finished
51 product. Drying methods and the physicochemical changes that occur in tissues during drying affect the
52 quality of the dehydrated products [4]. More specifically, the method and time used for drying affects
53 properties such as colour, texture, density, porosity and sorption characteristics of materials [4, 5] A
54 controlled drying environment is required to deliver a quality dried product. Therefore, it is necessary to
55 provide solar dryer with a back-up heating source with ability to regulate and condition the machine
56 parameters in order of arrive at a more quality dried product faster and continuous.

57 Hot air drying is one of the most frequently used operations for food dehydration. It is a method in which
58 heated air is blown over food materials with the aid of fan(s) to remove most of the moisture from the food
59 material. The drying of wet materials induces a number of physio-chemical changes in the product, often
60 reflected by colour. By choosing suitable drying methods and the appropriate conditions, the final product
61 quality can be controlled. Since environmental conditions are not so reliable and predictable, mechanical
62 drying system can be incorporated into a solar system thereby reducing the cost of drying and also
63 stabilizing the conditions of drying parameters [6]. The objective of this paper is to develop a fully
64 automated solar powered hot-air supplemented dryer (SPHSD) with moisture metering and data logging
65 system.

66 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

67 The solar dryer considered in this research paper is the Passive Mixed Mode Solar Dryer (PMMSD) or
68 Hybrid Dryer (HD). Here the product is located on trays or shelves inside an opaque drying chamber.
69 Solar radiation is thus not incident directly on the crop. Preheated air warmed during its flow through a
70 low-pressure thermos phonic solar energy air heater, is ducted to the drying chamber to dry the product
71 with an additional DC heating element powered with PV cells and battery. Because the products are not
72 subjected to direct sunshine, Localized heat damage, do not occur. For the purpose of this study, the
73 major components designed for are discussed below.

74 • Drying Chamber

75 The drying chamber is a cabinet type with a designed capacity of 20 kg yam slices. The chamber
76 accommodates a total of 6 detachable trays with dimension 0.65 by 0.65 m which are made from
77 stainless wire mesh to prevent small chips from falling off into the chamber and at the same time ensure

78 easy passage of heated air across the bed fixed to a wooden frame. The trays are spaced at vertical
79 distance of 10 cm from each other. The chamber was constructed using plywood, fiberglass and having
80 its interior part lined with reflective surface. At the upper part of the chamber lies the outlet vent and it also
81 has insulated roof inclined at an angle 18°.

82 • Solar Collector

83 The solar collector is a flat plate type with a dimension 1.27 by 0.7 m. It has a fiber glass insulation of
84 about 5 cm thickness. The absorbing surface which receives radiation is a malt cellulose black painted
85 metal screen sheet which is placed normal in between the solar collector chamber. A single tempered
86 glass cover with a thickness of 5 mm is placed above the absorber. The collector is attached to the
87 backside of the drying chamber, oriented at angle 17.5° at the horizontal. The convective current inside
88 the collector flows into the drying chamber by forced convection using an axial fan allowing the ambient
89 air to pass through the absorber and rise up to the load.

90 • Hot-air section

91 The hot air section houses the DC heating filament of 88 watt and vane axial fan having a flow rate of
92 0.47 m³/s and 95.729 watt. The vane axial fan transports hot air generated from the heating element into
93 the drying chamber. The fans are fixed with regulator for adjusting the fan speed thereby regulating the
94 air flow rate.

95 • The PV Solar Collector Setup

96 The dryer was design solely on solar power both for the direct and the hybrid therefore the need for PV
97 solar system. For this design, 2 solar panels of 150 watt each connected in series and a 200 Amp was
98 used to power the power-based component parts.

99 • The Control Board/Data Logger

100 The control board is used to control the DC fan speed and the electric filament voltage white the data
101 logger was designed to preset and stored information like temperature, moisture level, relative humidity
102 and air velocity at preset time interval. The control board and data logger are attached to the solar panel
103 stand.

104 2.1 Design calculation for automated hot-air supplemented solar dryer.

105 M_w (kg) is calculated using the following equation according to [7].

$$106 \quad M_w = M_p \frac{(m_i - m_f)}{(100 - m_f)} \quad (1)$$

107 Where M_p (kg) is the initial mass of the product to be dried which for this design will be 20 kg of white
108 yam.

109 m_i and m_f = initial moisture content and final moisture content respectively.

110 Final relative humidity or equilibrium relative humidity

111 ERH (%) is calculated using sorption isotherms equation given as given by [8]

$$112 \quad a_w = 1 - \frac{\exp[-\exp(0.914 + 0.5639 \ln M)]}{m_f} \quad (2)$$
$$M_w = \frac{m_f}{(100 - m_f)}$$

$$113 \quad ERH = 100a_w \quad (3)$$

114 Where a_w = water activity; and M (kg_w/kg_s)= dry basis

115 From section 1 for white yam, M_{wy} is 14.54 kg, Final moisture content of white yam is between 12 to 13
116 percent.

$$117 \quad |a_w = 1 - \exp[-\exp(0.914 + 0.5639 \times 2.61)]$$
$$118 \quad = -\exp(1.4718); a_w = 0.881, ERH = 88.1$$

119 Quantity of air needed for drying

120 Using a psychometric chat and taking input air temperature of 26 °C (dry bulb) and a relative humidity of
121 72 %, the psychometric gives a humidity ratio of 0.015 kg (H₂O/dry air). Hence 14.54 kg will require
122 2147.7 volume of air to effect drying. The volume flow rate of air V_a (m³/h) is calculated according to
123 equation 4:

124 $V_a = \frac{w_a}{t_d}$ (4)

125 $V_a = \frac{2147.7}{8} \text{ m}^3/\text{h} = 0.0746 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$

126 According to [9] the mass of air required to remove moisture in the drying process is calculated using
127 equation 5:

128 $M_a = \frac{m_w}{\Delta w_{CB} \times n}$ (5)

129 M_a = mass of air required to remove moisture from white yam slices, M_w = mass of water to be removed;
130 n = pick up factor; ΔW_{CB} = change in humidity ratio which is the moisture that can be removed by heated
131 air.

132 The quantity of heat energy required to evaporate the H_2O in the tuber samples is shown in equation 6

133 $E = m_a (h_i - h_f) t_d$ (6)

134 E is total heat energy kJ

135 m_a is mass flow rate of air $\text{kg/hr} = 157.89 \text{ kg/hr} = 0.436 \text{ kg/s}$

136 $E = 139.498 \text{ MJ}$

137 Initial moisture content of white yam according to [10, 11] when freshly harvested is 72.7 % (w.b.).

138 Total volume of 20 kg of white yam slices at maximum thickness of 1.0 cm is calculated using equation 7
139 below

140 $V = \frac{m}{\rho}$ (7)

141 Where;

142 m = mass of white yam = 20 kg, ρ = density of yam = 1104 kg/m^3

$$V = \frac{20}{1104}$$

143 $n = \frac{V}{A \times \text{thickness}}$, $n = 640.07$ slices

144 Total area required to dry 640 slices of white yam was derived from Equation 8 according to [12]

145 $A_t = n \times \text{surface area of a slice}$ (8)
 $A_t = 640(3.142 \times 0.03^2)$

146 Equation 9 show the formula for calculating numbers of trays required in the drying chamber

147 $\text{Number of trays} = \frac{A_t}{\text{Area of tray}}$ (9)

$$6 = \frac{2.54}{\text{Area of tray}}$$

148 The dimension of the tray is 0.65 m by 0.65 m

149 **Angle of tilt of the solar collector/air heater**

150 According to [13, 14] the angle of tilt (β) of a solar collector is

151 $\beta = 10^\circ + \text{lat}\Phi$ (10)

152 Where Φ is the angle of the solar collector location.

153 Latitude of Akure, Ondo State where the drying experiment took place was 7.25° N [15]. Hence, the
154 suitable value of β used for the collector is

155 $\beta = 10^\circ + 7.25; \beta = 17.25^\circ$

156 **Insolation of the collector surface area**

157 $\text{Insolation} = I_c = H_T = H \times R$ (11)

158 H = Average daily solar radiation on horizontal surface = 465.9 W/m^2 [16]

159 R = ratio of solar energy on tilted surface to that on the horizontal surface.

160 $R = \frac{T_s}{H}$ (12)

$$R = \frac{900.3}{465.9} = 1.94$$

161 T_s = average daily radiation on tilted surface for the test location

162 **Total Solar Collector Area/Dimension**

163 Using the formula according to [12]

164 $V'_a = V_a \times A_h \times W_c$ (13)

165 V'_a = Volumetric flow rate of Air, V_a = average air speed, A_h = air gap height, W_c = Width of collector

166 $V'_a = 0.15 \times 0.10 \times 0.70 \text{ m} = 0.105 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$
 167 Mass flow rate of air $M_a = V'_a \rho_a$ [12]
 168 Where, ρ_a is the density of air = 1.28 kg/m³; $M_a = 0.105 \times 1.28$; $M_a = 0.01344 \text{ kg/s}$

169 **Area of Collector**

170
$$A_c = \frac{M_a \times C_p \times (T_o - T_a)}{0.5 \times I_c} \quad (14)$$

171 Where;

172 C_p = Specific heat capacity of air = 1005 J/kg/K, T_o = Optimum temperature of the dryer = 60 °C T_a = air
 173 inlet temperature of ambient temperature approximately 30 °C, $I_{c,max}$ = Maximum insolation on the
 174 collector surface = 903.22 W/m²

175 $A_c = 0.89 \text{ m}^2$

176 **Determination of the base insulation thickness for the collector**

177
$$FM_a C_p (T_o - T_i) = \frac{A_c K_a (T_o - T_a)}{t_b} \quad (15)$$

178 Where;

179 k = thermal conductivity for fibre glass = 0.04, F = insulation factor = 10% = 0.1, T_o = 60 °C and $T_i = T_a =$
 180 30 °C

181 $M_a = 0.01344 \text{ kg/s}$

182
$$t_b = \frac{A_c K_a (T_o - T_a)}{FM_a C_p (T_o - T_i)} \quad (16)$$

$$t_b = \frac{0.89 \times 0.04 (60 - 30)}{0.1 \times 0.01344 \times 1005 \times 30}$$

$$= \frac{1.068}{40.53} = 0.026 \text{ m} = 2.6 \text{ cm}$$

184 For the design, considering heat loss and heat transfer, the thickness of the insulation was taken as 5 cm.

185 **Determination of heat losses from the solar collector**

186 The total heat transmitted and absorbed is given by [12] as

187
$$U_l = \frac{I_c A_c \tau_a - M_a C_p (\Delta T)}{A_c \Delta T} \quad (17)$$

188 $I_c = 903.22 \text{ W/m}^2$; $U_l = 8.126 \text{ W/m}^2\text{°C}$; $Q_l = 216.9 \text{ W}$

189 The quantity of heat loss from the solar collector is 216.9 W

190 **Pressure drop through the drying chamber**

191 The resistance to the flow of air through a layer of agricultural produce is expressed in the form
 192 expressed in equation 18 [17, 18]

193
$$\tilde{U} = a \left(\frac{P_B}{h_L} \right) \quad (18)$$

194 \tilde{U} = the superficial air velocity, H_L = the drying layer thickness (for the slices 10 mm = 0.010)

195 a = A constant whose value is determined experimentally

196 Note: $\Delta P_T = 6 \times (2 \Delta P_B) = 6 \times (2 \times 0.0084) = 1.0123 \text{ Pa}$

197 **Determination of thickness of the absorber plate (δ)**

198 The thickness of the absorber plate was calculated from [19] relationship.

199 $k\delta = 0.2 \quad (19)$

$$\delta = \frac{0.2}{k}$$

200 k = thermal conductivity of galvanized metal sheet 204 W/m/k

$$\delta = \frac{0.2}{204}$$

201 = $9.8 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m} = 0.98 \text{ mm}$ which is approximately equals 1 mm.

202 The minimum gauge of galvanized metal sheet to be utilized is 1 mm

203 **Height of the hot air column**

204
$$H = \frac{\Delta P_T}{g(\rho_a - \rho^*)} = \frac{\Delta P_T R}{g \left(\frac{1}{T_{abm}} - \frac{1}{T_{dryer}} \right) \rho_a} \quad (20)$$

205 H = 1.53 m

206 **Loss Coefficient for the flat plate collector**

207 a. Radiation coefficient from plate to glass cover was evaluated with the equation 21 according to [8]

208
$$h_{rpc} = \frac{\sigma \times (T_p^2 + T_c^2) \times (T_p + T_c)}{\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_p}\right) + \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon_c}\right) - 1} \quad (21)$$

209
$$h_{rpc} = 7.879 \text{ Wm}^{-2}\text{K}^{-1}$$

210 b. Radiation coefficient from cover to surface was calculate out according to the experimental work
211 of [20, 8] as expressed in equation 22.

212
$$h_{rcs} = \epsilon_c \times \sigma \times (T_c^2 + T_s^2) \times (T_c + T_s) \quad (22)$$

213
$$h_{rcs} = 6.389 \text{ Wm}^{-2}\text{K}^{-1}$$

214 c. Convection coefficient between plate and cover

215 h_{pc} Experience has shown that for free convection, the Nusselt number N_u in air spaces between parallel
216 plates with Grashof number G_r in the range 10^4 to 10^7

217
$$h_{pc} = 3.06 \text{ Wm}^{-2}\text{K}^{-1}$$

218 **Convective heat transfer coefficient for air blowing over the cover**

219 The heat loss from the glass cover to the surroundings must be the same, in the steady state, as the heat
220 loss from the black plate to the glass cover, heat loss from the glass cover is computed with equation 23
221 as illustrated by [8]

222
$$q_{ca} = h_w = h_{cs} \cdot (T_c - T_s) + \Sigma_c \cdot T_c^4 - \Sigma_c L \quad (23)$$

223
$$h_w = h_{ca} = 2.8 + 3.0V \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}$$

224 Where; V is the wind speed in meter per seconds

225
$$h_{ca} = 2.8 + 3.0V$$

226 average wind speed of Akure is 1.388 m/s

227
$$h_{ca} = h_w = 2.8 + 3.0(1.388), h_w = 44.4 \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}$$

228 Top loss coefficient, was derived with the equation 24 according to [8]

229
$$U_T = \frac{1}{\left[\frac{1}{h_{rpc} + h_{pc}} + \frac{1}{h_w + h_{rcs}}\right]} \quad (24)$$

230
$$U_T = 9.00 \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}$$

231 **Bottom loss coefficient**

232 Equation 25 according to [8] was used to calculate the bottom loss coefficient

233
$$U_b = \frac{k_i}{x_i} \quad (25)$$

234
$$U_b = 0.8 \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}$$

235 **Bottom loss coefficient at the edge**

236 A well-designed collector is also insulated at the edge and the loss coefficient was calculated with
237 equation 26.

238
$$R_{p-e} \gg R_{e-a} \rightarrow (UA)_{edge} \cong \frac{k}{L_{edge}} A_e \quad (26)$$

239
$$q_{loss,edge} = U_b (T_p - T_a)$$

240
$$U_e = 0.675 \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}$$

241 **To calculate the total loss,**

242
$$U_{total} = U_t + U_b + U_e \quad (27)$$

243
$$U_{total} = 10.45 \text{ W/m}^2\text{K}$$

244 **Total Solar Radiation Energy Calculation**

245 The solar radiation energy on the collector area is equal to the absorbed heat energy in it. According to
246 [21] as stated in Equation 28

247
$$\Sigma I_t A_c = \Sigma \frac{Q_{co}}{\epsilon_c} \quad (28)$$

248
$$= 6.59065 \text{ kJ}$$

249 **Design Consideration for the Heater**

$$250 \quad Q = MC_p \Delta T \quad (29)$$

251 Where;

252 Q = amount of heat energy (kJ/s)

253 M = Mass of water to be removed (14.54 kg)

254 C_p = Specific heat capacity of water (4.182 kJ/kg/K)

255 ΔT = Temperature difference (70 – 28) °C

$$Q = 14.54 \times 4.182 \times (70 - 28)$$

$$256 \quad 14.54 \times 4.182 \times 42$$

$$257 \quad 2553.86 \text{ kJ}$$

$$258 \quad \text{power rating} = \frac{\text{quantity of heat}}{\text{time}}$$

$$259 \quad 88 \text{ Watt}$$

260 Design consideration for the fan

261 Area of drying \times Airflow = Airflow rate in the cabinet

$$262 \quad m_v = m_a \times v_s \quad (30)$$

263 m_v = volumetric flow rate of the drying air (m^3/s)

264 v_s = Specific volume of the drying air in m^3/kg 1.087 from psychrometric chart.

$$265 \quad m_v = 0.47 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$$

266 Fan Electric Energy Calculation for Hot-air Section

267 According to [22], fan electric energy is equal to

$$268 \quad E_f = \frac{P_w \cdot t}{E_E \cdot E_m} \quad (31)$$

269 Where;

270 E_f = fan energy (kJ), P_w = Power of fan outlet air (W), t = Time (s), E_E = Electromotor electric efficiency

271 (%), E_m = Impeller mechanical efficiency (%)

272 Power of outlet air from fan according to [23] is

$$273 \quad P_w = 9.81 Q \cdot T_p \quad (32)$$

274 Where T_p = Total Pressure (mmWC)

275 Q = Air flow (m^3s^{-1})

$$276 \quad \text{Total pressure } T_p = S_p + V_p \quad (33)$$

277 Where S_p = Static pressure, V_p = Velocity Pressure

278 Velocity pressure is calculated from [22]

$$279 \quad V_p = 0.051 \rho \cdot V_1^2$$

$$280 \quad S_p = \left[\left(\frac{2.22 L_o \cdot V_1^2}{\ln(1 + 0.116 V_1)} \right) + \left(\frac{3.72 \times 10^{-6} \cdot P \cdot n \cdot V_1^2}{R T_3} \right) \right] \quad (34)$$

281 L_o = product thickness on tray

282 Inserting Equation 32 to 34 into Equation 35 fan electric energy would be equal to

$$283 \quad E_f = \left[\frac{9.81 t \cdot V_1 A_f}{1000 \cdot E_E \cdot E_m} \right] \left[S_p + 0.051 \frac{P \cdot n \cdot V_1^2}{R T_2} \right] \quad (35)$$

284 To calculate S_p ,

$$\left[\frac{2.22 \times 0.01 \times 1.0^2}{\ln(1 + 0.116 \cdot 1.0)} \right] + \left[\frac{3.72 \times 10^{-6} \cdot 97.02 \times 385.26 \times 1.0^2}{8.134.4 \times 313} \right]$$

$$285 \quad S_p = 9.81 \text{ (mmWC)}$$

$$286 \quad E_f = 37.39 \times 147.460, = 5514.02 \text{ Js}^{-1} = 95.729 \text{ watt}$$

287 Fan Electric Energy Calculation for Solar Collector

288 The fan electric energy according to Equation 35

$$289 \quad E_f = \left[\frac{9.81 t \cdot V_1 A_f}{1000 \cdot E_E \cdot E_m} \right] \left[S_p + 0.051 \frac{P \cdot n \cdot V_1^2}{R T_2} \right]$$

$$290 \quad A_f = 0.020$$

$$291 \quad = 1508.11 \text{ kJ/s} = 52.360 \text{ Watt}$$

292 Solar panel and battery calculation

293 Total Watts per Hour (DC) = 236.04 Watts



326

327

FIGURE 3: The developed solar controlled hot-air supplemented dryer

328

The developed machine was tested using white yam slices. Drying experiment was conducted using the dryer without supplemented hot-air and also with supplemented hot-air both in automated form. The southern azimuth of the testing location (Federal University of Technology Akure) was located using a GPS, after which the solar panel and the dryer were positioned according to the direction from the GPS in order to obtain maximum insolation during the experimentation.

329

330

331

332

333

For the two experimental processes, the yam after peeling was washed and sliced and shaped 30 mm square and 2mm thickness using a locally designed cutter. 250 g of the shaped yam slices was weighed and used for each run of experiment after blanching by soaking in hot water of 80 °C for a period of 3 minutes [23] using an electronic precision scale (KD-TBE-1200) with a sensitivity of 0.01 g and maximum load of 1200 g. After this, the blanched yam slices were drained using a plastic sieve and then placed in the drying tray of the dryer in single layer.

334

335

336

337

338

339

The dryer is always prepared at least 30 minutes to the commencement of each experimental run to allow thermal balance in the chamber. The probe of the moisture meter was placed inside the yam slices gently and other sensors were positioned as necessary prior to the commencement of the drying process. For the drying experiment without supplemented hot-air there was not external influence of heat or air. For the experiment with supplemented hot-air, the temperature in the drying chamber was present from the data logger to 50 °C, the heater and the fans were allowed to run on the PV solar system at 0.8 m/s² before the yam is placed on the tray for drying process. After the experimental run for each day, data is downloaded from the data logger via the SD card for analysis. Recorded data during the drying process are moisture content, product temperature, working temperature (temperature inside the drying chamber), drying chamber relative humidity, solar collector inlet and outlet temperature. Ambient temperature, relative humidity and solar insolation data was collected from the University meteorological station. The result of the study shows that there were tremendous improvements recorded in terms of drying time when hot-air supplement was used compared to when hot air supplement was not. Likewise, a complete thermal stability was experienced when hybrid system was used giving a better drying result.

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

3. MACHINE TESTING

354

3.1 Variation of Drying Parameters with Weather Condition at no-load.


355

The developed SPHSD was tested at no load condition in order to evaluate the effect of incorporating heater and control system into the dryer. The dryer was tested under two conditions firstly indirect solar


356

357 drying and secondly solar powered hot-air supplemented drying. During the indirect solar drying,
 358 fluctuation of drying temperature was experienced in the drying chamber throughout the test period with
 359 maximum temperature of 49.87 °C at 1437 hrs after 325 minutes of drying experiment. Between 0904 hrs
 360 and 1219 hrs, the drying chamber temperature was between 24.87 °C and 44.13 °C and also at 1546 hrs
 361 and 1652 hrs the temperature in the drying chamber was between 43.65 and 39.45 °C leaving the system
 362 at a drying limit below 45 °C.

363 A no load test was also carried out for SPHSD at a preset drying chamber temperature of 50 °C and it
 364 was observed that there was relative stability of temperature in the drying chamber throughout the drying
 365 period which lasted for 481 minutes. At the start of the test experiment, between 0904 hrs and 0930 hrs,
 366 the temperature increased from 35.51 to 45.01°C after which the temperature in the drying chamber was
 367 relatively at 50 °C throughout the entire test period. Figure 4 and 5 shows the variability of weather
 368 condition during the no load test for indirect solar drying and SPHSD respectively. Similar trends were
 369 reported by [24, 25] during the experimental testing of combined solar and mechanical dryer and [26]
 370 during the experimental testing of hot-air supplemented solar dryer for white yam.



371 **FIGURE 4** Variability of weather condition during no-load test for indirect solar dryer



373 **FIGURE 5** Variability of weather condition during no-load test for SPHSD at 50 °C

374

3.2

3.3 Variation of Drying Parameters with Weather Condition at load.

377 Fig. 6 shows a graphical representation of result from loaded laboratory experimental test where white
 378 yam slice of 3 mm was used to determine the moisture content of yam with respect to other drying
 379 parameters using indirect solar dryer. Drying experiment was conducted for 481 minutes (between 0910
 380 hrs to 1713 hrs) reducing the moisture content from 71.91, 72.1 and 72.8% to 27.95, 25.78 and 28.23%
 381 for MC₁, MC₂ and MC₃ respectively. The environmental weather conditions affected the drying process
 382 and the ambient temperature reduced below 30°C with an increase in relative humidity. The amount of
 383 moisture removed was low with low drying rate compared to experiment carried out with the SPHSD and
 384 this was due to the effect of fluctuating ambient weather condition on drying rate of indirect solar dryer.

385 Fig. 7 shows a graphical representation of result from loaded laboratory experimental test where white
 386 yam slice of 7 mm was used to determine the effect of supplemented drying system on moisture content
 387 of white yam at 50 °C with respect to other drying parameters. There was temperature build up in the
 388 drying chamber for the first 40 minutes before the pre-set CT₂ value was attained at 1006 hrs. Between
 389 2008 hrs to 2257 hrs there was a fall of about 3 °C from the pre-set temperature value in the CT₂ value.
 390 Drying experiment was conducted for 832 minutes (between 0901 hrs to 2257 hrs) reducing the moisture
 391 content from initial moisture content levels of 72.66, 71.48 and 71.48 % to 13.47, 12.53 and 12.54% for
 392 MC₁, MC₂ and MC₃ respectively. The incorporated DC heating system enabled continuous drying even
 393 when the temperature is relatively low with high relative humidity during the night when there was
 394 extremely poor solar insolation.




FIGURE 6 Variability of weather condition, moisture content with respect to time during load test for indirect solar dryer




FIGURE 7 Variability of weather condition, moisture content with respect to time during load test for SPHSD at 50 °C

4. CONCLUSIONS

The main drudgery militating the used on solar dryer during harsh weather condition and night period is environmental factors and electricity instability. Time taken in drying agricultural materials during harsh weather condition and in drying commodities with high moisture content take so long leading to poor product and spoilage in some situation. Solar dryer with the aid of solar power with DC heater and fan was developed and tested to remove the drudgery in order to aid fast drying rate and eradicate bacterial growth. SPHSD was observed to give a faster drying rate and stability compared with indirect solar dryer. The effect was established when tested with white yam slices. For indirect solar dryer, 3 mm white yam was dried for 481 minutes (between 0910 hrs to 1713 hrs) reducing the moisture from 71.91, 72.1 and 72.8% to 27.95, 25.78 and 28.23% for MC₁, MC₂ and MC₃ respectively where 832 minutes (between 0901 hrs to 2257 hrs) reduced the moisture content of white yam slices of 7 mm thickness from initial moisture content levels of 72.66, 71.48 and 71.48 % to 13.47, 12.53 and 12.54% for MC₁, MC₂ and MC₃ respectively in SPHSD.

REFERENCES

1. [1] Muhlbauer, W. & Esper, A. Solar tunnel dryer. *Plant Res. and development.* (1995). 44(4):16-64.
2. [2] Velmurugan, V., Mugundhan, K., Srithar, K. Experimental Studies on solar stills integrated with a mini solar pond. *Proceedings of the 3rd BSME-ASME International Conference on Thermal Engineering.* (2016). 109-116.
3. [3] Ibitoye, F.I., Adenikinju, A. Future demand for electricity in Nigeria. *Applied Energy, Elsevier,* (2007). 84(5): 492-504.
4. [4] Krokida, M.K., Tsami, E., Maroulis, Z.B. Kinetics on color changes during drying of some fruits and vegetables. *Drying Technol.,* (1998).16(3-5): 667-685.
5. [5] Reyes, A., Mahn, A., Huenulaf, P., González, T. Tomato Dehydration in a Hybrid-Solar Dryer. *Journal Chemical Engineering Process Technology.* (2014). 5 (4): 1-8.
6. [6] Purkayastha, M.D., Nath, A., Deka, B.C., Mahanta, C.L. Thin layer drying of tomato slices. *J Food Sci Technology.* (2013). 50(4): 642-653

- 429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
7. [7] Tonui, K.S., Mutai, E.B.K., Mutuli, D.A., Mbuge, D.O., Too, K.V. Design and evaluation of solar grain dryer with a back-up heater. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Tech.* (2014). 7(15): 3036-3043
 8. [8] Jithinraj, T., Aftab, A.K. Experimental Analysis on Multi Pass Flat Plate Collector Solar Air Dryer. *International Journal of Emerging Engineering Research and Tech.* (2014). 2(7): 1-11.
 9. [9] Ichsani and Dyah (2003). Design and experimental testing of solar dryer combined with kerosene stoves to dry fish. ASAE Annual meeting, Chicago 028024
 10. [10] Oke, M.O., Awonorin, S.O., Oyelade, O.J., Olajide, J.O., Olaniyan, G.O. & Sobukola, P.O. (2009) Some thermo-physical properties of yam cuts of two Geometries. *African Journal of Biotechnology Vol. 8 (7), pp. 1300-1304.*
 11. [11] Aduewa, T.O., Oyerinde, A.S., Olalusi, A.P., Ogunlowo A.S. Performance evaluation of hot-air supplemented solar dryer for white yam (*dioscorea rotundata*) slices, in *Proceeding of the Annual Conference of the School of Engineering and Engineering Technology, FUT, Akure.* (2016). 190 – 201.
 12. [12] Alamu, O.J., Nwachucha C.N., Adunola O. Design and construction of a domestic passive solar food dryer, *Leonardo Journal of Sciences.* (2011). 71-82.
 13. [13] Sukhatme, S.P *Solar-Energy-Principles of Thermal Collection and Storage*, 1st ed., Tata McGraw Hill Publishing Company Limited. (1996).
 14. [14] Misha, S., Rosli, M.A.M., Sopian, K., Mat, S., Sulaiman M.Y., Salleh, E. Parametric Analysis of Heat Removal Factor for a Flat Plate Solar Collector of Serpentine Tube. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, (2014). 29(2): 184-187.
 15. [15] Adaramola, M.S. Estimating global solar radiation using common meteorological data in Akure. *Renewable Energy.* (2002). 47:38-44.
 16. [16] Akachukwu, B.E. Prediction of optimum angle of inclination for flat plate solar collector in Zaria, Nigeria, *Agricultural Engineering International: CIGR Journal.* (2011). 13(4):1-11
 17. [17] Jindal, V.K., Gunasekaran, S. Estimating air flow and drying rate due to natural convection in solar rice dryers. *Renewable energy review.* (1982). 4(2): 1-9.
 18. [18] Forson, F.K., Nazha, M.A.A., Akuffo F.O., Rajakaruna H. Design of mixed-mode natural convection solar crop dryers: Application of principles and rules of thumb. *Renewable Energy.* (2007). 32(14): 2306–19.
 19. [19] Amer, B.M.A., Hossain, M.A., Gottschalk, K. Design and performance evaluation of a new hybrid solar dryer for banana, *Energy Conversion and Management.* (2010).51:813-820
 20. [20] Tyagia, V., Pandey, A.K., Giridhar, G., Bandyopadhyay, B. Comparative study based on exergy analysis of solar air heater collector using thermal energy storage. *International Journal of Energy Research.* (2012). 36(6): 724-736.
 21. [21] Duffie, J.A. & Beckman, W.A. *Solar Engineering of Thermal Processes*, 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York. (1991).
 22. [22] Bleier, F.P. *Fan handbook: Selection, Application and Design.* New York: McGraw-Hill. 18th ed. (1998).
 23. [23] Akissoe, N. H., Hounhouigan, D. J., Mestres, C., Nago, G. M. How blanching and drying affect the colour and functional characteristics of Yam (*Dioscorea cayenensis-rotundata*) Flour, *Food Chem.* (2003). 82: 257-264
 24. [24] Adelaja, A.O., Asemota, O.S., Oshiafi, I.K. Experimental determination of the moisture content pattern in yam during drying, *Journal of Applied Sciences Research.* (2010). 6(8): 1171-1181, *Insinet Publication.*
 25. [25] Bhuiyan, M.H.R., Alam M.M., Islam M. N. The construction and testing of a combined solar and mechanical cabinet dryer, *J. Environ. Sci. & Natural Resources.* (2011). 4(2): 35-40.
 26. [26] Aduewa, T.O., Ogunlowo, A.S., Ojo. S.T. Development of Hot-Air Supplemented Solar Dryer for White Yam (*Dioscorea Rotundata*) Slices. *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science (IOSR-JAVS).* (2014). Volume 7(12):114-123