

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_70025
Title of the Manuscript:	Histological and Histochemical Study of Radiofrequency Radiation Effects on the Pre- and Postnatal Brain Development
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Good work. But there are number of methodological flaws in the manuscript. Please follow the authors' guideline carefully before submitting any work in well reputed Peer reviewed Journal.</p> <p>"Histological and Histochemical Study of Radiofrequency Radiation Effects on the Pre- and Postnatal Brain Development"-----title is not perfect!!! Please revise it. Try to modify it based on your study.</p> <p>Abstract was not good rather not scientifically written.</p> <p>In Figure 1: "Schematic illustration of RFR exposure during experiment."----Did you draw by yourself or from any other sources, if it's from any other source then please cite.</p> <p>Without any quantitative estimation like number of positive cells how could you interpret about apoptosis or like that?</p> <p>Please incorporate some histochemical data. As your study entitled with "Histochemical".....but i have found very small amount of such data which were specifically based on tissue architecture level.</p> <p>Please perform western blot and some apoptosis related biomarkers for more confirmation about your study.</p> <p>Very limited work mainly based on tissue level.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	<p>References were not well formatted.</p> <p>Please carefully read your manuscript before submission.</p> <p>There were some unnecessary subheading in the discussion, it's not scientific.</p>	
Optional/General comments	<p>Need major revision. Otherwise I cannot accept this manuscript with such kind of histological data.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Arnab Banerjee</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Serampore College, India</i>