



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Advances in Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AIR_60351
Title of the Manuscript:	Effective Management of Hilsa shad (Tenulosa ilisha): Prevailing Research Trends in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>During the review of article I found some grammatical and typographical errors. Repetition of scientific names and common names in brackets. Errors of some author names and keeping the author no's in brackets. Different font in M &M section. Missing of reference no. 62. Keep the table 1 &2 in appropriate place in the text.</p> <p>The review article is well written and presentation of data in a good scientific manner. It shows the trends of Hilsa fisheries in past and predictions of Hilsa fisheries in future. The information is also useful for sustainable management and restoration of Hilsa stock for future generations.</p> <p>I recommend that the review article is accepted for publication in AIR journal after incorporation of <u>corrections indicated in the review article with red colour.</u></p>	
Minor REVISION comments	No uniformity in references quoted in reference part. The author/s must follow guidelines of AIR journal.	
Optional/General comments	Useful information	



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P. Yedukondala Rao
Department, University & Country	Andhra University, India