

Original Research Article

Quality characteristics and sensory properties of Noodles Produced from Blends of Wheat, Acha (*Digitaria exilis*), Bambara Groundnut, and Cocoyam Composite Flours

Abstract

This study investigated the quality characteristics and sensory properties of noodles produced from composite flours of wheat, acha, bambara groundnut, and cocoyam. Composite flours of wheat/acha, wheat/bambara groundnut, wheat/cocoyam and wheat/acha/bambara groundnut/cocoyam were formulated by substituting acha, cocoyam and bambara groundnut flours at 10, 20 and 30% each while 100% wheat flour was used as the control ~~sample~~. The composite flours were used to produce noodles and the noodles subjected to chroma meter analysis, proximate analysis, culinary and sensory properties evaluations. Proximate analysis revealed that the noodles contained moisture content of 10.11-11.11%, 2.72-3.99% ash, 10.34-17.52% protein, 1.25-7.51% lipid, 0.56-1.64% crude fibre and 59.38-75.03% carbohydrate. There was an increase in the moisture, ash, protein, lipid and crude fibre contents with a decrease in carbohydrate as substitution with cocoyam, acha and bambara groundnut flours increased, ~~however, now without observed~~ significant ($p > 0.05$) differences ~~were observed~~. With the addition of acha, bambara groundnut and cocoyam composites into wheat flour, water absorption progressively increased from 1.75 (control) to 2.07ml/g (Wheat-Acha-bambara-cocoyam 60:10:20:10).

There was a significant ($p < 0.05$) increase in the cooking loss of the noodle samples as substitution levels of acha, Bambara groundnut and cocoyam flour increased. Cooking time decreased for wheat: cocoyam, wheat: acha composite flours and wheat: acha: cocoyam: Bambara groundnut composite flour as substitution levels of cocoyam and acha increased while that of wheat: Bambara groundnut flour increased as the substitution levels of Bambara groundnut increased. There was no significant ($p \geq 0.05$) difference in the L^* , a^* and b^* values of wheat-bambara composite noodles. L^* values of wheat-acha composite noodles increased significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) with the increase in substitution with acha composite while that of wheat-cocoyam flour blends decreased. Substitution of wheat flour with 10% to 30% acha flour and 10% to 20% Bambara groundnut flour resulted in noodles with acceptability sensory attributes.

Comment [AT1]: This is a long sentence and not clear. Needs polishing.

Keywords: Noodles, acha, cocoyam, bambara groundnut, Composite flour

1. INTRODUCTION

Noodles are popular foods consumed throughout the world due to its convenience, ready to cook and low cost [1]. They are strips or strands cut from sheet of dough made from flour, water and either common salt or a mixture of alkaline salt [2]. Wheat flour has been extensively used for producing alimentary pastes such as macaroni, spaghetti and other noodles forms [3] and all the noodle brands currently available on Nigerian market are

exclusively made from wheat flour. Noodles have also gained popularity in several parts of Africa owing to changes in lifestyle and urbanization [4]. In 2010, Nigeria became the world's 13th largest consumer of instant noodles, and proceeded to the 12th largest consumer of noodles in 2007, with the average of one billion seven hundred and sixty million serving per year as estimated by [WINA](#) [5]. WINA [5] predicted that the demand for noodles in Africa is expected to increase with population growth which has necessitated the research and development of noodles of good nutrition and acceptability from indigenous crops such as wheat, acha and *Moringa oleifera* [1], plantain, cocoyam, cassava and sweet potato [6], sweet potato, soybean and corn flour [7].

Acha (*Digitaria exilis*) also called fonio is an annual indigenous crop which is widely cultivated in West Africa. The seeds are rich in methionine and cysteine, amino acids vital to human health which are deficient in most cereals [8]. The seeds also contain 7.9% protein, 1.8% fat, 71% carbohydrate and 6.8% fibre [9]. Acha seeds can be consumed whole, milled into flour or processed into gruels, porridges, alcoholic and non-alcoholic drinks [10]. According to Mune *et al.* [11], substitution of wheat flour with Acha flour up to 30% has shown insignificant difference in terms of sensory qualities.

Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranean*) is a relatively rich source of dietary protein, especially when animal proteins are very limited or unavailable [11]. Mbata *et al.* [12] reports that when compared to other food legumes, Bambara groundnut is rich in iron and the protein contains high lysine and methionine and can therefore complement cereals in making foods such as noodles [13]. The production of a widely accepted food such as noodle using Bambara groundnut or in blends with other cereals can be highly beneficial in enhancing food security.

Comment [AT2]: Please, give the scientific name. Is this correct?

Cocoyam (*Colocasia esculenta*) is a herbaceous perennial crop belonging to the family Araceae. It is commonly called *taro* and ranks third in importance after yam and cassava in terms of production value in Nigeria [14]. Cocoyam as one of the staple food is a rich source of nutrients. Pandukur and Amienyo [15] reported that cocoyam contains carbohydrate content of 13-29%, protein 1.4-3.0% and that the leaves are rich sources of vitamin B6, vitamin C, niacin, thiamin and riboflavin. Mwenye *et al.* [16] also reported that cocoyam is a rich source of minerals such as iron, phosphorus, zinc, potassium, copper and manganese. Cocoyam is used as a source of food for ~~man~~-human and livestock. It can be processed and consumed as soup thickener, fufu, roasted in fire and boiled. Cocoyam can also be used for the production of alcohol, medicines, flour and starch [17]. The flour of the cocoyam can also be used for the preparation of soups, biscuit, breads and puddings [18].

Formatted: Font: Italic

Wheat is graduating to the status of staple food in Nigeria where it is extensively used in a number of baked goods. Domestic wheat production remains relatively small and expenditure on wheat imports is high. A number of Nigerian cereal, legume and tuber crops such as acha, Bambara groundnut and cocoyam are produced in commercial quantities but are grossly under-utilized because information on their nutritional contributions and food applications are scanty in literature. Utilization of locally available inexpensive crops like Acha, Bambara groundnut, and cocoyam that can substitute a part of wheat flour without impairing noodles acceptability will be of utmost importance in restoring food security in Nigeria. This will also contribute to reducing the dependence on wheat flour in Nigeria and many non-wheat growing areas. The aim of this study therefore was to determine the quality and sensory attributes of noodles made from blends of wheat, acha, bambara groundnut and cocoyam composite flours.

2. Materials and Methods

Bambara groundnut and acha grains were procured from Mile 3 market, Port Harcourt. Wheat flour was collected from Noodle flour bin 611 of Crown Flour Mills Ltd Port Harcourt while cocoyam tubers were purchased from Rumuchakara village, Choba, Port Harcourt.

2.1. Sample Preparation

2.1.1. Preparation of Bambara groundnut flour, Acha and cocoyam flour

Bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranean*) and acha (*Digitaria exilis*) were sorted, cleaned and milled into flour using hammer mill. Cocoyam flour was obtained as described by Adane *et al.* [19] with some modifications. Briefly, cocoyam (*Xanthosoma sagittifolium*) tubers were peeled, washed, sliced and oven dried in a conventional air oven (Gallen kamp Co. Ltd London England) into chips at 75°C for 8 hours. The cocoyam chips were milled into flour using Bastak 1900 hammer mill smart (Turkey). The flours (bambara groundnut, acha, and cocoyam) were stored in air tight plastic sample containers at room temperature.

Comment [AT3]: Different scientific names are to the same organism, and unlikely to happen. Which one of the two is correct?? Please, specify?

2.1.2. Preparation of Composite Flours

Samples (500g) wheat-acha-bambara-cocoyam composite flours were formulated by replacing 100% wheat flour with by 10, 20 and 30% of acha, bambara and cocoyam flour, respectively (Table 1). In another in separate experiments, 500g wheat-acha-bambara-cocoyam composite flours were formulated in ratios of 70:10:20:0, 70:10:10:10, 70:0:20:10, and 60:10:10:10 (w/w)% wheat:acha:Bambara:cocoyam flours, respectively.

Comment [AT4]: Table 1 is clear, but your description in the text is not. If it is in their combined form, why didn't you refer it as 10 – 30%? If you are referring each component, not any of the component 20%; according to the sequence of contents given here it is referring Bambara. If it was in their combined form, what is shown on Line 4 (of this paragraph excluding wheat) is 30% (10 + 20 + 0, 10 + 10 + 10, 0 + 20 + 10 or 60 + 10 + 10 + 10 [which was 90% including wheat]). Please, revise this paragraph! Describe the issue clearly what is indicated in Table 1.

Table 1: Formulation of composite flours from wheat, acha, Bambara groundnut and cocoyam

Samples	WF	AF	BGF	CF
A	100	0	-	-
B	90	10	-	-
C	80	20	-	-
D	70	30	-	-
E	90	-	10	-
F	80	-	20	-
G	70	-	30	-
H	90	-	-	10
I	80	-	-	20
J	70	-	-	30
K	70	10	20	0
L	70	10	10	10
M	70	0	20	10
N	60	10	20	10

2.2. Noodle Production

Noodles were produced according to the method described by Causgrove [20] with some modifications. Flour sample were mixed with 2% cooking salt (NaCl) and 40% water to form strong dough. The dough was allowed to rest for 15 minutes, and rolled into sheet of about 5 mm thick. With continuous rolling, the dough sheet was gradually reduced into 1.3-1.0 mm thickness, and extruded into noodle strands using a pair of cylindrical slitter. The noodles were cooked in steam for 5 minutes, dried at 80°C for 6 hours, cooled to 20°C and packed in polyethylene pouches.

2.3. Chemical Analysis of Noodles

The proximate Composition (moisture, crude fat, crude fibre, protein and total ash) were analyzed using AOAC [21]. The total carbohydrate was determined by subtracting the sum of the moisture, crude fat, crude fibre, protein and total ash from 100 %.

$$\text{CHO} = 100 - (\text{Moisture} + \text{Fat} + \text{Ash} + \text{Protein} + \text{Crude fibre})$$

2.4. Culinary Properties of Noodles

2.4.1. Optimum Cooking Time

Optimum cooking time was determined according to the method described by Shere *et al.* [22] with slight modification. Forty grams (40 g) of noodles were dispersed in 250 ml boiling water. For every 30 seconds, a piece of noodle was held between transparent plastic slide and pressed gently until the white colour of noodle at the central portion of strand disappears. Optimum cooking time was achieved when the centre of noodles become transparent.

2.4.2. Cooking Loss

Cooking loss was determined according to the method described by Shere *et al.* [22] with slight modification in which 10 g sample of noodles was placed into 300 ml boiling distilled water in a 500 ml beaker. After boiling for appropriate optimum cooking time for a particular noodle sample, the cooking water was collected in an aluminum dish and placed in oven at 105°C and evaporated to dryness.

The residue was weighed and reported as a percentage of starting material.

$$\text{Cooking Loss (\%)} = \frac{\text{Dried residue in cooking water}}{\text{noodle weight before cooking}} \times 100$$

2.4.3. Water Absorption of Noodles

Water absorption was determined by the ratio of the weight of cooked noodles to the weight of raw noodles as described by AACC method [23].

$$\text{Water Absorption (\%)} = \frac{\text{Weight of cooked noodles} - \text{weight of raw noodles}}{\text{weight of raw noodles}} \times 100$$

The result of water absorption was expressed in ml/g.

2.5. Sensory Evaluation

A 10-member semi trained panelists evaluated the prepared noodles for their attributes of colour, taste, texture, flavor/aroma and overall acceptability. Panelists rated the noodles on a nine point hedonic scale of 9 = liked extremely, 5= liked and 1 = disliked extremely [24].

2.6. Experimental Design: A split-plot arrangement fitted with a complete randomization design with samples = whole unit (Treatments) = subunits was used for analysis of physicochemical and rheological properties of flours, colour properties, proximate composition and effects of treatments on the culinary properties of noodles. A randomized complete block design was used for the evaluation of sensory data.

2.7. Data Analysis

Data for all determinations were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) as outlined by Wahua [25]. The significant differences ($P \leq 0.05$) among treatment means was identified using Fisher's least significant difference (LSD) test.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. Colour properties of the dried noodles

Table 2 shows the colour properties of dried composite flour noodles as measured by Minolta chroma meter. There was no significant ($p \geq 0.05$) in the L^* , a^* and b^* values of wheat-bambara composite noodles. L^* values of wheat-acha composite noodles increased significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) with the increase in substitution with acha composite, ranging from 58.22 (wheat-acha composite 90/10) to 67.59 (wheat-acha composite 70:30). Olapade and Ogundeji [26] reported a similar L^* value (60.04) for spaghetti colour made from 90% acha and 10% Bambara composite flour. The L^* values of wheat-cocoyam composite noodles decreased significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) with the increase in cocoyam substitution, causing wheat-cocoyam composite 70:30 to have the least L^* value (37.90). This is probably due to the relatively dark colour of the species of cocoyam used in the composite flour production. Falade and Okarfo [27] previously reported that *Xanthosoma sagittifolium* cultivar of cocoyam has a relatively low L^* value. Noodle color is one of the most important Asian noodle product quality characteristics because it is the first attribute perceived by consumers (Causgrove, 2004). Asian noodle color may be white or yellow depending upon the noodle type, but it should be bright [20]. Specifications for noodle color and texture vary by noodle type. Preferred characteristics are determined by consumer desires and expectations in each market [20].

Comment [AT5]: Results were not described very well. They need to do more.

UNDER PEER REVIEW

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 2: Colour properties of the dried noodles

Treatment	L*	a*	b*
Wheat: Acha flour			
100:0	60.23 ^{ab}	3.54 ^a	20.19 ^a
90:10	58.22 ^{ab}	3.78 ^a	19.68 ^a
80:20	63.22 ^a	3.40 ^a	20.42 ^a
70:30	67.59 ^a	2.78 ^a	18.83 ^a
LSD = 5.0			
Wheat: Bambara flour			
100:0	60.23 ^a	3.54 ^a	20.19 ^a
90:10	59.04 ^a	3.82 ^a	21.15 ^a
80:20	60.86 ^a	4.00 ^a	22.46 ^a
70:30	57.12 ^a	3.82 ^a	22.16 ^a
LSD= 4.6			
Wheat: cocoyam flours			
100:0	60.23 ^a	3.54 ^d	20.19 ^a
90:10	53.61 ^b	53.61 ^a	17.80 ^a
80:20	45.72 ^c	45.72 ^b	16.51 ^{ab}
70:30	37.90 ^d	37.90 ^c	15.03 ^{ab}
LSD = 2.5			
Wheat: acha: Bambara: cocoyam flour			
100:0:0:0	60.23 ^a	3.54 ^a	20.19 ^a
70:10:20:0	60.96 ^a	4.52 ^a	25.11 ^a
70:10:10:10	50.97 ^b	6.69 ^a	22.46 ^a
70:0:20:10	49.32 ^b	6.53 ^a	21.02 ^a
60:10:20:10	46.14 ^b	6.37 ^a	19.05 ^{ab}

abc Means in the same columns not followed by the same superscript are significantly different (P≤0.05).

3.2. Proximate Composition of the Dried Noodles

Table 3 shows the proximate composition of the dried composite flour noodles. Moisture content of the noodles increased with an increase in the proportions of acha, Bambara and cocoyam flours; however no significant ($p < 0.05$) difference was observed across the treatments. Moisture content of all the noodles was below 11% which is below the Codex standard moisture specification (14.0% maximum) for instant non fried noodles [27]. This implies that the products will have reasonable shelf stability as low moisture content enhances the keeping properties of food products because it prevents the growth of micro-organisms [6].

Ash content of the noodles increased with the increase in acha, bambara and cocoyam substitution but there was no significant ($p \geq 0.05$) difference in the ash content across all the treatments. Noodles produced from wheat and cocoyam flour blends had the highest ash content with a range of 2.72-3.99%. Ash content is an indication of the amount of minerals contained in foods [29]. Ash values of the noodles from this study falls within the range (1.88-2.73%) reported by Akonor *et al.* [6] for root and tuber composite flour noodles.

Although there was no significant ($p \geq 0.05$) difference in protein content across the treatments, protein content of the noodles increased with the increase in Bambara groundnut, acha and cocoyam composite. This justified the addition of Bambara groundnut to the composite flour which was intended to improve the protein content [30]. Wheat-Acha-Bambara-Cocoyam composite noodles which had the highest protein content (10.54-17.52%) could be probably due to the incorporation of Bambara groundnut flour. It is also due to attendant synergistic effects of protein complementation as reported by Iwe *et al.* [31] Protein content of the noodles from this study is within the values (10.07-14.44%) reported by Orisa and Udofia [1] for noodles produced from wheat, cowpea, acha and moringa oleifera leaf powder.

Generally, the fat content of the noodles from this study was low (1.25-7.51%). Similarly, wheat-acha-Bambara-cocoyam composite noodles which had the highest fat content (1.25-7.51%) could be probably due to the incorporation of Bambara groundnut flour. The low fat content of the noodles from this study may be due to the fact that cereals, legumes and tubers store energy in the form of starch rather than lipids [31]. Fat content of the noodles from this study is low when compared to the values (10.0-16.54%) for fried noodles made from soybean-wheat composite flour [32]. Fried noodles contain about 15 – 20% oil and are more susceptible to oxidation resulting in rancidity [32].

Crude fibre content of the noodles increased with the increase in acha, bambara and cocoyam substitution, however, no significant ($p \geq 0.05$) difference was observed across the treatments. Anankware, *et al.* [33] previously reported that fiber content of composite flour increased as the percentage inclusion of cocoyam flour increased. Ayo and Andrew [34] also reported that the crude fibre of varying compositions of acha and bambara groundnut flour product increased from 2.6 to 3.6% with increasing bambara groundnut. Crude fibre slows down the release of glucose into the blood and decreases intercolonic pressure hence reducing the risk of colon cancer [34, 31].

Carbohydrate content of the noodles ranged from 59.38% to 75.04% with the control having the highest carbohydrate (75.04%) while wheat-acha-bambara-cocoyam composite noodles (60/10/20/10%) had the lowest carbohydrate content (59.38%). There was a significant difference ($p \leq 0.05$) in the carbohydrate content of the noodles except wheat-acha and wheat-cocoyam composite noodles. In line with Iwe *et al.* [31], the carbohydrate content of the products of these composite flour samples is an indication that they ~~are~~ can serves as good sources of energy.

Table 3: Proximate Composition of the dried composite flour noodles

Treatment	Moisture (%)	Ash (%)	Protein (%)	Lipid (%)	Fiber (%)	Carbohydrates (%)
Wheat: acha flour						
100:0	10.10 ^a	2.72 ^a	10.34 ^a	1.25 ^a	0.56 ^a	75.03 ^a
90:10	10.57 ^a	2.77 ^a	10.72 ^a	1.37 ^a	0.67 ^a	73.90 ^a
80:20	10.41 ^a	2.82 ^a	11.24 ^a	1.91 ^a	0.82 ^a	72.80 ^a
70:30	10.49 ^a	2.89 ^a	12.36 ^a	3.00 ^a	0.92 ^a	70.34 ^a
LSD = 8.1						
Wheat: Bambara flour						
100:0	10.10 ^a	2.72 ^a	10.34 ^a	1.25 ^a	0.56 ^a	75.03 ^a
90:10	10.64 ^a	3.05 ^a	13.91 ^a	4.75 ^a	1.14 ^a	66.49 ^b
80:20	10.41 ^a	3.22 ^a	14.74 ^a	5.22 ^a	1.26 ^a	65.15 ^b
70:30	11.10 ^a	3.42 ^a	15.37 ^a	5.82 ^a	1.34 ^a	62.95 ^b
LSD = 7.3						
Wheat: cocoyam flour						
100:0	10.10 ^a	2.72 ^a	10.34 ^a	1.25 ^a	0.56 ^a	75.03 ^a
90:10	10.90 ^a	3.21 ^a	12.78 ^a	2.45 ^a	1.22 ^a	69.44 ^a
80:20	10.89 ^a	3.58 ^a	12.42 ^a	2.09 ^a	1.11 ^a	69.91 ^a
70:30	11.11 ^a	3.99 ^a	10.95 ^a	1.95 ^a	0.79 ^a	71.21 ^a
LSD = 7.9						
Wheat: acha: Bambara: cocoyam flour						
100:0:0:0	10.10 ^a	2.72 ^a	10.34 ^a	1.25 ^a	0.56 ^a	75.03 ^a
70:10:20:0	10.14 ^a	2.65 ^a	16.78 ^a	6.29 ^a	1.41 ^a	62.82 ^b
70:10:10:10	10.12 ^a	3.69 ^a	17.03 ^a	6.85 ^a	1.35 ^a	60.96 ^b
70:0:20:10	10.39 ^a	2.68 ^a	16.60 ^a	6.52 ^a	1.32 ^a	62.49 ^b
60:10:20:10	10.50 ^a	3.48 ^a	17.52 ^a	7.51 ^a	1.64 ^a	59.38 ^b
LSD = 8.7						

abc Means in the same columns not followed by the same superscript are significantly different ($P \leq 0.05$)

3.3. Culinary Properties of the Composite flour Noodles

Table 4 shows the culinary properties of the composite flour noodles. The optimum cooking time ranged from 4.21 minutes (Wheat-Acha composite noodles 70:30) to 5.55 minutes (Wheat-Bambara composite noodles 70:30). The results indicated that the cooking time decreased for wheat: cocoyam, wheat: acha composite flours and wheat: acha: cocoyam: Bambara groundnut composite flour as substitution levels of cocoyam and acha increased while that of wheat: Bambara groundnut flour increased as the substitution levels of Bambara groundnut increased. A significant difference was only observed for wheat: acha composite flour noodles in which cooking time for 100% wheat noodles was significantly ($p < 0.05$) different from noodles made from wheat-acha flour blends. Optimum cooking time refers to the time in minutes to gelatinize the starch marked by disappearance of central white core in the noodles strand [De pilli et al.](#) [36]. The decrease in cooking time as acha and cocoyam flour substitution increased might be due to the dilution of gluten in dough. This confirms [the](#) earlier report by Shere *et al.* [22] that [indicated the](#) dilution of wheat gluten with spinach puree decreased composite noodles cooking

Comment [AT6]: Not clear. Please, polish it.

time. This increase in cooking time as Bambara groundnut flour substitution increased could be probably due to the inherent properties of Bambara groundnut. Omeire *et al.* [8] also observed an increase in cooking time of noodles when four defatted legume flours (soybean, groundnut, bambara nut and melon seeds) were processed into flour and used in fortifying cassava-wheat composite flour for noodle production.

The result shows that there were significant ($p \leq 0.05$) differences in the cooking loss among all the noodle samples. The cooking loss ranged from 3.18 g – 9.23 g with the control and wheat-cocoyam composite noodle (70:30) having the least and highest values respectively. There was a significant ($p < 0.05$) increase in the cooking loss of the noodle samples as substitution levels of acha, Bambara groundnut and cocoyam flour increased. This may be due to the poor formation of protein complex which might have resulted from the poor protein content and lack of gluten forming proteins (glutenin and gliadine) [8].

The water absorption result indicated that there was no significant ($p \geq 0.05$) difference among all samples and the control. Wheat-bambara composite noodle (70:30) had the least water absorption (1.64 ml/g) while sample Wheat-Acha-bambara-cocoyam (60:10:20:10) had the highest water absorption (2.07 ml/g). With the addition of acha, bambara groundnut and cocoyam composites into wheat flour, water absorption progressively increased from 1.75 (control) to 2.07ml/g (Wheat-Acha-bambara-cocoyam 60:10:20:10). The addition of acha, Bambara groundnut and cocoyam probably enhanced the interaction between starch granules and protein matrix resulting in better quality noodles. Shere *et al.* [22] reported a similar effect when replaced part of wheat flour with spinach puree. Increase in water absorption for noodles produced from wheat-acha-bambara-cocoyam (60:10:20:10) could also be attributed to the relative high fiber content (1.64%) of the sample [22]. Water absorption is an important characteristic in deciding the cooking quality of noodles and it is an indication of quantity of water absorbed by the noodles during cooking [37].

Comment [AT7]: Are you sure that all papers show water absorption increases with the increase in a fiber content? Please, include in your discussion and among reference list published work that are against this fact, contrasting view.

Table 4: Culinary properties of the composite flour noodles

Treatment	Cooking time (min)	Cooking loss (%)	Water absorption (ml/g)
Wheat: acha flour			
100:0	5.22 ^a	3.18 ^d	1.75 ^a
90:10	4.52 ^b	4.72 ^c	1.80 ^a
80:20	4.36 ^b	5.62 ^b	1.65 ^a
70:30	4.21 ^{bc}	6.79 ^a	1.96 ^a
LSD = 0.30			
Wheat: Bambara flour			
100:0	5.22 ^a	3.18 ^d	1.75 ^a
90:10	5.40 ^a	5.59 ^b	1.76 ^a
80:20	5.48 ^a	6.54 ^a	1.70 ^a
70:30	5.55 ^a	4.82 ^c	1.64 ^a
LSD = 0.32			
Wheat: Cocoyam flour			
100:0	5.22 ^a	3.18 ^d	1.75 ^a
90:10	5.15 ^a	6.44 ^c	1.69 ^a
80:20	5.05 ^a	7.90 ^b	1.70 ^a
70:30	5.29 ^a	9.23 ^a	1.93 ^a
LSD = 0.44			
Wheat: acha: Bambara: cocoyam flour			
100:0:0:0	5.22 ^a	3.18 ^d	1.75 ^a
70:10:20:0	5.25 ^a	6.88 ^b	1.77 ^a
70:10:10:10	5.02 ^a	5.31 ^c	1.97 ^a
70:0:20:10	5.11 ^a	7.79 ^a	1.80 ^a
60:10:20:10	5.08 ^a	7.26 ^b	2.07 ^a
LSD= 0.42			

abc Means in the same columns not followed by the same superscript are significantly different ($p \leq 0.05$)

3.4. Sensory Evaluation of cooked composite flour noodles

The mean sensory scores of the cooked composite noodles is shown in [table-Table 5](#). The average sensory scores for colour of the cooked composite noodles ranged from 4.0 (wheat-cocoyam composite noodle 70:30) to 8.00 (wheat-acha 80:20 and wheat-bambara 90:10). The colour of the noodles varied significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) among all the treatments. Wheat-cocoyam composite noodle 70:30 was the least preferred in terms of colour. This could be probably due to the unattractive colour of the cooked noodle which was attributed to the colour of cocoyam flour. Colour is one of the major [response](#)-variables governing food acceptance [8]. Since colour relies on the sense of sight, the colour of the noodles was one of the outstanding parameters in the decision of the panelists [8].

The values for the texture of the noodle samples ranged between 4.50 and 8.00. Wheat-cocoyam composite noodle 70:30 had the lowest score (4.50) while samples wheat-acha composite noodles 90:10, wheat-bambara 90:10 and the control (100% wheat noodles) had the highest score for texture (8.00) and were significantly different ($p < 0.05$) from other samples. The relatively low texture values obtained when cocoyam and acha constituents exceeded 10% could be probably due to interference the composite flour constituents in gluten development. Omeire *et al.* [8] had a similar report that the difference in texture of the noodle samples could be attributed to the presence of gluten in wheat flour which formed elastic dough resulting to noodles with good texture and better structure.

The sensory score for aroma of the noodle samples ranged from 5.0 to 7.5. The control (100% wheat noodles), wheat-acha composite 90:10, wheat-bambara 70:30, wheat-bambara 90:10, and wheat-cocoyam 90:10 composite noodles had the highest score for aroma (7.50) and are significantly different ($p \leq 0.05$) from other samples, while wheat-acha-bambara-cocoyam 60:10:20:10 had the lowest score for aroma (5.0). [This result disagrees with Omeire *et al.* [8] who attributed the least aroma score of wheat-cassava-bambara composite flour noodles to 10% Bambara flour inclusion.] Individual constituents of composite flours impact their characteristic aroma on the aroma perception of finished products made from the flours. Anggraeni and Saputra [37] reported of having an improved aroma on cooked noodles with dried unripe banana composite flour.

The taste scores of the noodles varied significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) across the treatments, and ranged from 5.0 (wheat-cocoyam 70:30) to 8.0 (control). The taste score of the control (8.00) was followed by that of wheat-acha 90:10 and wheat-bambara 90:10 (7.50). Samples wheat-acha 90:10 and wheat-bambara 90:10 were also significantly different ($p \leq 0.05$) from other samples. The variation in taste could be probably due to variation in noodle flour composition [37]. Wheat-bambara composite noodles 90:10 and the control were the best accepted and were significantly different ($p \leq 0.05$) from other samples in terms of overall acceptability while sample wheat-cocoyam composite noodle 70:30 was the least preferred. Wheat-cocoyam composite noodle 70:30 was also the least preferred by the panelists in terms of colour and taste which are very important in the acceptability of any food sample; therefore this may have contributed to the least preference of the noodles. Wheat-acha 90:10 and wheat-bambara composite noodles 80:20 had the same overall acceptability score and varied significantly from other samples. This signified that noodle produced by substituting part of wheat with 10% acha and Bambara groundnut up to 20% was acceptable. Omeire *et al.* [8] reported that acceptable noodles can be produced by up to 25 % substitution of wheat with acha and soyabean. Cocoyam substitution at 10 % was liked slightly both in colour, taste and general acceptability probably due to the dark colour of the flour. Composite noodles wheat-acha-bambara-cocoyam 70:10:20:0 had an overall acceptability score of 7.0 indicating that the noodle was liked moderately by the sensory panelists.

Comment [AT8]: Why do you think your result disagree with of Omeire *et al.*, 2014? They have cassava in their formulation. Better to indicate the difference between yours and their noodles composition.

Table 5: Mean sensory scores of cooked composite flour noodles

Cooked composite noodles	Colour	Aroma	Taste	Texture	Overall acceptability
Wheat: acha					
100:0	7.5 ^b	7.5 ^b	8.0 ^a	8.0 ^a	8.0 ^a
90:10	7.0 ^c	7.5 ^b	7.5 ^b	8.0 ^a	7.5 ^b
80:20	8.0 ^a	5.5 ^e	7.5 ^b	6.5 ^d	7.0 ^c
70:30	6.0 ^e	6.5 ^d	7.0 ^c	5.0 ^f	6.0 ^e
Wheat: Bambara nut					
90:10	8.0 ^a	7.5 ^b	7.5 ^b	8.0 ^a	8.0 ^a
80:20	7.5 ^b	7.0 ^c	7.0 ^c	7.5 ^b	7.5 ^b
70:30	7.0 ^c	7.5 ^b	6.5 ^d	7.0 ^c	6.5 ^d
Wheat: cocoyam					
90:10	6.0 ^e	7.5 ^b	6.0 ^e	6.5 ^d	6.5 ^d
80:20	5.5 ^e	6.5 ^d	5.5 ^f	5.0 ^f	5.5 ^f
70:30	4.5 ^f	6.0 ^e	5.0 ^g	4.5 ^g	5.0 ^f
Wheat:acha:Bambara:cocoyam					
70:10:20:0	7.5 ^b	6.0 ^e	6.5 ^d	7.0 ^c	7.0 ^c
70:10:10:10	7.0 ^c	6.0 ^e	6.0 ^e	7.0 ^c	6.5 ^d
70:0:20:10	5.5 ^e	5.0 ^f	6.0 ^e	6.0 ^e	6.5 ^d
60:10:20:10	6.0 ^d	5.0 ^f	6.0 ^e	6.0 ^e	6.0 ^e
LSD= 0.49					

abc Means in the same columns not followed by the same superscript are significantly different (P≤0.05)

4. CONCLUSION

This study has showed that substituting wheat flour with flours of acha and bambara groundnut will improve the food use of these currently underutilized Nigerian crops, encourage mass cultivation of these crops and ultimately improve Nigerian food security status. The research study also showed that acceptable noodles with good shelf stability, improved nutritional and culinary qualities can be produced by the substitution of wheat flour with 10% to 20% acha and bambara groundnut flours. Substitution of wheat flour with 10% to 30% acha flour and 10% to 20% Bambara groundnut flour resulted in noodles with improved quality and acceptability. This depicts that these indigenous crops will be very beneficial to pasta, pastry, baking and confectionary industries, and helps reduce cost of wheat importation in Nigeria. It is therefore recommended that the substitution of wheat flour with 10% to 20% acha flour, 10% to 20% Bambara groundnut flour, and less than 10% cocoyam flour be used for the production of noodles with relatively a high potential of acceptability.

COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER:

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.

REFERENCES

1. Orisa CA, Udofia U.S. Proximate and Mineral compositions of noodles made from *Triticum durum*, *Digitaria exilis*, *Vigna unguiculata* flour and *Moringa oleifera* powder. *Journal of Food Science and Engineering*, 2019; 9:276-286. Available: <https://doi.org/10.17265/2159-5828/2019.07.003>.
2. Adedeji TO. Chemical composition and organoleptic properties of cocoyam starch wheat flour blend noodles. *Archives of Food and Nutritional Science*, 2017; 1:058-062.
3. Akanbi TO, Hazamid S, Adebowale AA, Farooq A, Olaoye AO. Breadfruit starch-wheat flour noodles: preparation, proximate compositions and culinary properties. *International Food Research Journal*, 2011; 18: 1283-1287.
4. Ando K. Instant noodles as earth food, the answer to food crisis. Retrieved from world instant noodles submit, world instant noodles association (WINA), 2010; website: <https://instantnoodles.org>.
5. WINA (2018). World instant Noodles Association Global Demand. instantnoodles.org/en/noodles/market.html.
6. Akonor PT, Tortoe C, Buckman ES, Hagan L. Proximate composition and sensory evaluation of root and tuber composite flour noodles. *Cogent Food and Agriculture*. 2017; 3:1292586.
7. Olorunsogo ST, Adebayo SE, Orhevba BA, Awoyinka TB. Physicochemical properties of instant noodles produced from blends of sweet potato, soybean and corn flour. *Food Research*, 2019; 3(5): 391-399.
8. Omeire GC, Umeji OF, Obasi NE. Acceptability of noodles produced from blends of Wheat, Acha and Soybean composite flours. *Nigerian Food Journal*, 2014; 32 (1): 31-37.
9. Oburuoga AC, Anyika JU. Nutrient and anti-nutrient composition of mung bean (*Vigna radiata*), acha (*Digitaria exilis*) and crayfish (*Astacus fluviatilis*) flours. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 2014; 11(9): 743-746.
10. Coda R, Di Cagno R, Edema MO, Nionelli L, Gobetti M. Exploitation of acha (*Digitaria exilis*) and iburu (*Digitaria iburua*) flours: chemical characterization and their use for sourdough fermentation. *Food Microbiology*, 2010; 27(8): 1043-1050.
11. Mune MA, Mbome-Lap EI, Minka SR. Improving the nutritional quality of cowpea and Bambara bean flours for use in infant feeding. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 2007; 6:660-664.
12. Mbata TI, Ikenebomeh MJ, Ezeibe S. Evaluation of mineral content and functional properties of fermented maize (generic and specific) flour blended with bambara groundnut. *African Journal of Food Sciences*, 2009; 3:107-112.

13. Chude C, Atowa C, Okpalanuwaekwe EO. Quality evaluation of noodles produced from fermented bambara groundnut (*Vigna Subterranean* (L) Verdc.) Flour. *Food Science and Quality Management*. 2018; 73.
14. Adeyeye SAO, Oluwatola OJ. Quality and Sensory Properties of Pounded Cocoyam from Different Varieties of Cocoyam. *The Pacific Journal of Science and Technology*, 2015; 16(2):251-256.
15. Pandukur SG, Amienyo CA. Effect of *Azadirachta indica* extract on the radical growth of some test fungi isolated from two cocoyam varieties (*Colocasia esculenta* L.) corms and cormels in some markets in Plateau State, Nigeria. *Journal of Phytopathology and Pest Management*, 2016; 3(1): 46-59.
16. Mwenye OJ, Labuschagne MT, Herselman L, Benesi IRM. Mineral Composition of Malawian Cocoyam (*Colocasia esculenta* and *Xanthosoma sagittifolium*) Genotypes. *Journal of Biological Sciences*, 2011; 11: 331-335.
17. Ijioma JC, Effiong JB, Ogbonna MO, Onwuamaoka EA. “Determinants of adoption of selected NRCRI cocoyam technologies among farmers in Umuahia South Local Government Area of Abia State, Nigeria,” *American International Journal of Contemporary Re-search*. 2014;4(6).
18. Ogukwe CE, Amaechi PC, Enenebeaku CK. Studies on the Flowers and Stems of Two Cocoyam Varieties: *Xanthosoma sagittifolium* and *Colocasia esculenta*. *National Product Chemistry Research*, 2017; 5: 263. doi: 10.4172/2329-6836.j
19. Adane T, Shimelis A, Negussie R, Tilahun B, Haki GD. Effect of processing method on the proximate composition, mineral content and antinutritional factors of Taro (*Colocasia esculenta*, L.) grown in Ethiopia. *African Journal of Food, Agriculture, Nutrition and Development*, 2013; 13 (2):7383-7398.
20. Causgrove P. Wheat and Flour Testing Methods. “A Guide to Understanding Wheat and Flour Quality” Wheat Marketing Center, inc., Oregon, 2004.
21. AOAC Association of Official Analytical Chemists International. 17th Edition. Vol.2, Washington DC, USA, 2000.
22. Shere PD, Devkotte AN, Pawar VN. Studies on Production of Functional Noodles with Incorporation of Spinach Puree. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 2018; 7(6): 1618-1628
23. AACC. Approved methods of the American society of Cereal Chemists. St.Paul Minnesota, 1995.
24. Iwe M.O. Handbook of Sensory Methods and Analysis. Rojoint Communication Services Ltd. Enugu, 2010; pp. 75-78.
25. Wahua TAT. Applied Statistics for Scientific Studies. T.E.N., Port Harcourt, 1999.
26. Olapade AA, Ugokwe PU, Ozumba AU, Solomon HM, Olatunji O, Adelaya SO. Physico-chemical properties of premixes for preparation of akara. *Nigerian Food Journal*, 2005; 22: 55
27. Falade KO, Okafor AC. Physical, functional, and pasting properties of flours from corms of two Cocoyam (*Colocasia esculenta* and *Xanthosoma sagittifolium*) cultivars. *Journal Food Science Technology*, 2014; 52(6):3440–3448.
28. CAC. Codex Alimentarius: Standard for Instant Noodles CODEX STAN 249-2006. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations and World Health Organization: Rome, Italy, 2006.

29. Akubor PI, Fayashe TO. Chemical composition, functional properties and performance of Soybean and Wheat flour blends in instant fried noodles, *South Asian Journal of Food Technology and Environment*, 2018; 4(2): 690-699.
30. Awolu OO, Oyebanji OV, Sodipo MA. Optimization of proximate composition and functional properties of composite flours consisting wheat, cocoyam (*Colocasia esculenta*) and bambara groundnut (*Vigna subterranea*) *International Food Research Journal*, 2017; 24,1: 268-274
31. Iwe MO, Onyeukwu U, Agiriga AN, Yildiz F. Proximate, functional and pasting properties of FARO 44 rice, African yam bean and brown cowpea seeds composite flour, *Cogent Food & Agriculture*, 2016; 2:1, DOI: [10.1080/23311932.2016.1142409](https://doi.org/10.1080/23311932.2016.1142409)
32. Gulia N, Dhaka V, Khatka BS. Instant Noodles: Nutritional Aspects, *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 2014; 54:10: 1386 – 1339.
33. Anankware J, Ojinnaka MC, Shadrack DM, Odinkemere-Davidson VN. Formulation, proximate analysis and quality evaluation of cocoyam-wheat cake enriched with palm larvae (*Rhynchophorus phoenicis*). *Acta Scientific Nutritional Health*, 2018; 2(11): 19-25.
34. Ayo JA, Andrew E. Effect of added Bambara groundnut on the quality of acha-date palm based biscuit. *International Journal of Biotechnology and Food Science*, 2016; 4(3):34 – 38
35. Gibney MJ. Nutrition diet and health. 168, New York, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
36. De Pilli T, Derossi A, Severini C. Cooking Quality Characteristics of Spaghetti based on Soft Wheat Flour Enriched with Oat Flour. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 2013; 48(11), 2348-2355.
37. Anggraeni R, Saputra D. Physicochemical characteristics and sensorial properties of dry noodle supplemented with unripe banana flour. *Food Research*, 2018; 2 (3): 270 – 278