

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_70238
Title of the Manuscript:	Quality characteristics and sensory properties of Noodles Produced from Blends of Wheat, Acha (<i>Digitaria exilis</i>), Bambara Groundnut, and Cocoyam Composite Flours
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The idea of the research is interesting and the research questions of the MS in addition to being valid are timely. The number of samples and the test done in the study are appropriate. The research work is ethical. They have sufficiently reported the methods. The tables and figures displayed in the MS are clear and accurately represent the results. In the MS, previous works by others were discussed sufficiently and results of this study were compared with others. Citation in the MS were done properly, Yes, results in the MS support the conclusion. In general, the manuscript is well designed and written in a readable and understandable language. It is informative.	
Minor REVISION comments	There are some long and not clear sentences within the 'Abstract', Materials and Method, and Results and Discussion sections, therefore the author(s) need to address these problems. I have indicated my specific comments to the authors and corrections that they have to make in the track-edited MS document.	
Optional/General comments		

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	<i>Anteneh Tesfaye Tefera</i>
Department, University & Country	<i>Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia</i>