



**SDI Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Food Science Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_51836
Title of the Manuscript:	Production And Use Of Cola Follicles (Cola nitida vent. Schott & Endl.) Potash In The Formulation Of "Kabatôh" In Côte d'Ivoire
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Page 1</b>, L8-13, the sentence is very long, the authors must simplify it. L14-17, authors should introduce some numeric data about the authors must develop this sentence by giving some recent numerical data L17, what is the FAO acronym?</p> <p><b>Page 2</b>, L51-52: Please to indicate the geographical data of region(s) how samples are collected L69-71. What device, electrodes were used to determine pH please use equation editor for formulae and follow the authors guidelines of the Asian Food Science Journal</p> <p><b>Page 3</b>, L 104, authors should introduce the hammer mill characteristics</p> <p><b>RESULTS and DISCUSSION:</b> change the heading of the sections and avoid to repeat in the text the data showed in tables. Overall, the results are not well discussed, you should improve this part in order to make clearer the reason (or the hypothesis of the reason) of the obtained results. This does not emerge.</p> <p>The conclusion should be improved.</p> <p>- Manuscript requires English language improvement.</p>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		



[SDI Review Form 1.6](#)

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b><i>Slim Smaoui</i></b>
Department, University & Country	<b><i>Centre Biotechnologie de Sfax, Tunisia</i></b>