



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_65565
Title of the Manuscript:	Knowledge of Covid -19 and Death toll cases in Manipur
Type of the Article	Research Study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The title of this article is good and the objective/aim need to be recast due to language use. I suggest they should remove citation from abstract since the abstract gave the summary of what they did and not to quote from anybody. They should go back and recast most of their write up to capture the topic because the primary aim of this study is to determine the level of knowledge of the people about Covid-19 and its negative impact on human life.</p> <p>Materials and methods have no scientific research method of data collection. The author (s) should give brief explanation on research design, sampling technique, method of data analysis etc. The variables of interest here cannot be only age of the participants. Since you work in different campuses, what about gender and level of study to establish the level of awareness.</p> <p>Results: The table contained only frequency and percentage of some variables but the demographic data of the subjects whom provided the information were not captured.</p> <p>Discussion: The discussion was outside the results presented in this study. The authors were mentioning the number of men died compared to women with figure and equally compared the frequency of gender but no result for gender, level of study in their various institutions. Please, recast the discussion based on variables in your results.</p> <p>Conclusion: The conclusion is not properly concluded because the level of knowledge among the subjects about covid-19 was 95.5% and there is no how you will get complete knowledge on something. The method for assessing the level of knowledge in this study has no measuring value.</p> <p>No recommendations</p>	
Minor REVISION comments	This paper has a lot of corrections to make and once the corrections are effected, the paper should be accepted for publication.	
Optional/General comments	Accept with major corrections.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ede, Alison Okorie
Department, University & Country	Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Nigeria