

Thyromental height - As a predictor of difficult laryngoscopy

ABSTRACT

The fundamental responsibility of the anaesthesiologist and one of the most important steps in anaesthesia practice is the intubation and maintenance of the airway. The integral part of pre-anaesthetic evaluation to recognize a potentially difficult airway is the airway assessment. There are multitude of bedside screening tests which are helpful to predict a difficult airway but the accuracy is doubtful. Thus, pointing out a single reliable predictor of difficult intubation is important. Accordingly, this study aims to evaluate the practicality of thyromental height test alone as a sole predictor of difficult laryngoscopy in our present population. Ethical clearance was obtained and after taking an informed consent, a randomised prospective observational study was conducted on 315 adult patients who were posted for elective surgical procedures under general anaesthesia with endotracheal intubation. On the day before the surgery, airway was assessed and Thyromental height (TMHT) was measured. Laryngoscopy was performed intra-operatively and Cormack Lehane's grading was noted. The evaluation of the accuracy of thyromental height in predicting difficult laryngoscopy was done by comparing the preoperative assessment data and laryngoscopy findings. In our study, the mean thyromental height observed was 5.4cm. Thyromental height at cut off of 50mm had a high negative predictive value of 94.1% and high sensitivity of 72.5%, but with low specificity of 64.2% (P value 0.000). When the cut off was emended to 48mm, sensitivity of the test decreased to 56.2% and specificity increased to 79.8% (P value 0.002). The conducted study demonstrates the usefulness of thyromental height. It substantiates the good sensitivity of thyromental height for predicting difficult intubation. But, the validation will require further studies in more diverse patient population.

Keywords: Thyromental height, Difficult laryngoscopy, Cormack-Lehane's grading.

INTRODUCTION:

The fundamental responsibility of the anaesthesiologist and one of the most important steps in anaesthesia practice is the intubation and maintenance of the airway. The most remarkable cause of morbidity and mortality in anaesthesia practice is the failure in managing the airway.¹ All most all of the airway tragedies have occurred only when difficult airway was not anticipated.² Faultless prediction of difficult airway, guides the preparation for its management. Serious complications which might result in failed oxygenation has been associated with difficult intubation. Incidence of difficult laryngoscopy is reported in the range of 1.5-20%.³ According to the American society of anaesthesiologists, brain damage or death has occurred in 85% of airway related events, and anaesthesia due to inability to maintain patent airway hold responsible for 1/3rd of the deaths⁴. The second most frequent proclaimed damaging event is the difficult intubation leading to anaesthesia malpractice claims. There is difficult or impossible mask ventilation in a patient with difficult airway. This is one of the most critical emergency faced in anaesthesia practice.⁶ The integral part of pre-anaesthetic evaluation to recognize a potentially difficult airway is the airway assessment. Clinical examination and application of simple clinical tests aid in predicting most cases with difficult airway.⁷ Pre-operative prediction of patients with difficult airway can help anaesthesiologist in planning effective way of managing tracheal intubation by implementing special gadgets like fibre optic bronchoscope, video laryngoscope, etc. There are multitude of bedside screening tests which are helpful to predict a difficult airway but the accuracy is doubtful. The performance of these tests varies substantially between various studies. Thus, a test with high sensitivity, specificity, and positive predictive value is essential. In order to assess difficult laryngoscopy, a range of clinical techniques have been used some of which are not sensitive or specific to anticipate difficult intubation. Thus in unidentified cases, difficult intubation can be challenging for the anaesthesiologists. Simple bed side physical examination using single test or combination of different tests to predict difficult intubation has been tried by innumerable investigators. While single measures are slightly outperformed by multifactorial indices, their accuracy is doubtful in predicting difficult laryngoscopy. Thus, pointing out a single reliable predictor of difficult intubation is important. A study was done in Iran population and it has been found out that the thyromental height test (TMHT) alone is an accurate predictor of difficult laryngoscopy.³ Accordingly, this study aims to evaluate the practicality of thyromental height test alone as a sole predictor of difficult laryngoscopy in our present population.

MATERIALS AND METHODS:

Source of data:

After obtaining ethical clearance and taking an informed consent, a study was conducted at Saveetha medical college hospital between March 2021 and May 2021 on 400 adult patients who were posted for

elective surgical procedures under general anaesthesia with endotracheal intubation. Only the patients fulfilling inclusion criteria were enrolled into this study.

Study design:

Prospective observational study.

Inclusion criteria:

All the patients aged between 18 and 70 years of either sex.

Exclusion criteria:

Patients with

1. Obvious airway malformations.
2. Requiring awake intubation.
3. History of radiotherapy for head and neck pathology.
4. Need for rapid sequence intubation under cricoid pressure.
5. Cervical spine pathology.

Methodology:

Pre-anaesthetic evaluation:

On the day before surgery, a thorough pre-anaesthetic check-up was done. All the demographic data like age, sex, height, body weight, body mass index were recorded. History of medical illnesses, medication, surgical procedures, drug allergy and general physical examination of the patient were considered for patient evaluation. Blood pressure and pulse rate of the patient were also noted. Systemic examination was done and American Society of Anaesthesiologist (ASA) grading was determined.

Airway assessment:

Routine airway assessment was done.

Thyromental height test (TMHT) was performed as follows:

With the patient lying in supine position and with his/her mouth closed, the thyromental height that is, the height between the anterior border of thyroid cartilage and a tangential line drawn from the anterior border of mentum was obtained in pre-operative room. The height is measured with depth gauge.

Intraoperative:

On the day of surgery, the patient was shifted to the operation theatre and intravenous line was secured. ECG, non-invasive blood pressure, SpO₂ monitors were connected and basal vitals were recorded. Inj. Midazolm (0.15mg/kg), and Fentanyl (2mcg/kg) IV were given after pre-oxygenation for 3 minutes.

The induction agent used was Propofol (2mg/kg) I.V. Atracurium (0.5mg/kg) was used for facilitating muscle relaxation. The patients were mask ventilated with 100% O₂ for 3 min.

At the end of 3 minutes, with the patient's head in sniffing position, laryngoscopy was performed by a conventionally trained anaesthesiologist using a Macintosh 3 or 4 blade. If no laryngeal view has been achieved, external, backward, upward and right laryngeal pressure (BURP) was applied and the view obtained was assigned a grading (I to IV) according to Cormack-Lehane's (C-L) criteria. The same anaesthesiologist carried out all of these attempts. The anaesthesiologist was unaware of the airway assessments.

Cormack and Lehane grading is as follows:

Grade 1: Full visualisation of glottis.

Grade 2: Partial visualisation of glottis, only posterior commissure is seen.

Grade 3: Visualisation of epiglottis only.

Grade 4: No laryngeal structures are visible.

Grade 3 and 4 are considered as difficult visualisation whereas grade 1 and 2 are considered as easy visualisation.

After confirming bilateral air equity, appropriate sized cuffed oral endotracheal tube was used to intubate the patients.

The accuracy of thyromental height test in predicting difficult laryngoscopy was evaluated using the preoperative assessment data and laryngoscopy findings. The accuracy of thyromental height test, the sensitivity, specificity, positive and negative predictive values were calculated.

Sample size:

The study carried out on thyromental height test for prediction of difficult laryngoscopy has shown that the sensitivity of the thyromental height test when compared with the Cormack- Lehane grading to be 82.6%. Thus on reference to the above findings, with precision of +/- 1%, power of study at 80% and alpha error 5% the required sample size for the study was estimated to be 400.

Method of statistical analysis:

Microsoft excel was used to record the data collected from the study population. All the quantitative variables such as age, thyromental height, weight, etc., were described by employing descriptive statistics. Percentages with 95% confidence interval were employed to describe the qualitative variables. Various indicators such as sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, likelihood ratio and odds ratio were calculated to test the efficacy between the different

cut off points for the thyromental height. The significance of difference for qualitative variables was tested using Fisher exact tests. A 'p' value of less than 0.05 was taken to denote significant difference. All statistical analysis were performed using SPSS version 18.0(SPSS Chicago).

RESULTS:

The table 1 shows the demographic data of the participants. A total of 400 patients (196 women [49%] and 204 men [51%], age 18-70 years) were included in the study. According to the CL grade 3 and grade 4 laryngeal views, a total of 40 (9.9%) patients were defined as difficult intubation. None of the patients had failed endotracheal intubation.

Table 1: Demographic profile of cases studied:

	Male		Female	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD
Age(yrs)	42.25	13.52	42.32	13.97
Height(cms)	163.38	6.78	155.51	7.92
Weight(kgs)	65.32	13.47	58.33	11.46
BMI	22.86	4.057	23.67	6.01

The mean age of males is 42.25years and that of females is 42.32years. Mean height of males is 163.38cm and that of females is 155.51cm. Mean weight of males is 65.32kgs and of females is 58.33kgs. Mean BMI of males is 22.86kg/m² and of females is 23.67kg/m².

Table 2: Height / Weight / BMI

Variable	Range	Mean	SD
Height(cms)	130 - 180	161.9	6.9
Weight(kgs)	45 - 90	66.1	6.9
BMI(Kg/m ²)	15.37 - 35.97	23.89	2.67

The mean height and weight of the cases was 161.9 cm (range 130 - 180cm; SD 6.9) and 66.1kg (range 45 - 90 Kg; SD-6.9), respectively. The mean BMI was 23.89 (range 15.37 - 35.97 kg/m²).

Table 3: Distribution of Cormack- Lehane grading in study population:

Cormack-Lehane grading	Cases	%
I	154	38.6
II	206	51.5
III	40	9.9
IV	0	0
Total	400	100.0

Out of 400 study cases,154 cases have C-L grade I, 206 cases have C-L grade II, 40 cases have C-L grade III and none have C-L grade IV.

Table 4: No of attempts

No. of Attempts	Cases	
	No	%
1	271	67.7
2	125	31.3
3	4	1

Table 5: Distribution of easy and difficult laryngoscopy in study population:

Laryngoscopy	Cases	
	No	%
Easy (CL - I, II)	349	87.4
Difficult (CL - III,IV)	51	12.6
Total	400	100

In our study on 400 patients, 349 patients had easy laryngoscopy and 51 patients had difficult laryngoscopy. So total patients with difficult laryngoscopy are 51 which gives an incidence of 12.6%.

Table 6: Calculated cut off value of thyromental height (mm) that shows the best range of sensitivity and specificity.

Cut off value for Thyomental Height(mm)	Sensitivity	1- Specificity
45.50	0.826	0.441
46.50	0.808	0.382
47.50	0.797	0.353

48.50	0.758	0.235
49.50	0.740	0.235
50.50	0.637	0.176
51.50	0.587	0.176
52.50	0.520	0.147
53.50	0.491	0.147
54.50	0.448	0.118
55.50	0.409	0.88

At a cut off value of 50.0 mm, sensitivity is 72.5% and the specificity is 64.2%.

Table 7: Distribution of thyromental height at cut off of 50mm in the study population:

Thyromental height (TMHT)	Cases	
	No	%
<50mm	162	40.4
>50mm	238	59.6
Total	400	100

Range (mm)	32 - 73
Mean	51.70
SD	8.21

Out of 400 study patient population, 162 patients had TMHT <50mm and 238 patients had TMHT >50mm.

Table 8: Distribution of thyromental height at cut off of 48mm in the study population:

Thyromental height (TMHT)	Cases	
	No	%
<48mm(Difficult)	97	24.3
>48mm(Easy)	303	75.7

Total	400	100
Range (mm)	32 - 73	
Mean	51.70	
SD	8.21	

Fisher Exact Test

UNDER PEER REVIEW

Table 9: Efficacy of thyromental height test at 50 mm and 48mm cut off:

Ease of Laryngoscope as per		P value
Thyromental	Cormack - Lehane's grading	

height test	Difficult (CL-III,IV) (n=51)		Easy (CL-I,II) (n=349)		
	No	%	No	%	
Difficult <50mm	37	22.8	125	77.2	0.000 Significant
Easy >50mm	14	4.4	224	95.6	
Difficult <48mm	27	28.3	71	71.7	0.002 Significant
Easy >48mm	21	6.8	281	93.2	

Fisher Exact Test

Table 10: Comparative efficacy of TMHT at 50 mm and 48mm cut off:

Parameters	Thyromental height test 50mm cut off	Thyromental height test 48mm cut off
True positive	37	27
False positive	125	71
True negative	224	281
False negative	14	21
Sensitivity%	72.5	56.2
Specificity%	64.2	79.8
Positive predictive value%	22.8	27.5
Negative predictive value%	94.1	93.0
Accuracy%	65.2	77.0
P value	0.000	0.002

For prediction of difficult airway, on comparison of efficacy and predictive value of TMHT, in our population, it had a high specificity of 79.8% and a high negative predictive value and better accuracy at a cut off of 48mm.

DISCUSSION:

Unexpected difficult airway has always been a major concern for anaesthesiologists. Serious complications particularly failed oxygenation has occurred due to difficult intubation. As per ASA closed claim audit, the significance of difficult or failed tracheal intubation is well acknowledged as a major cause of morbidity and mortality in anaesthetic practice.

Numerous anatomical characteristics and pathological conditions like Pierre Robin syndrome and Ludwig's angina have suggested to be useful in assessing predicted difficult intubation by altering or distorting the regional anatomy of the airway.

Unexpected difficult intubation is a risk to the patient's life and is a challenge to the anaesthesiologist. In the absence of pathological conditions, radiographic methods cannot be used routinely for prediction of difficult intubation as it is time consuming.

The necessity to anticipate potentially difficult tracheal intubation with an accurate marker, even before laryngoscopy, has received more significance but the success is limited. Pre-operative prediction of difficult airway helps not only in managing the intubation time better, but also decreases morbidity related to airway management.

It is seen that weight, jaw movement, head and neck movement, buck teeth, receding mandible, Modified Mallampati classification, sternomental distance, thyromental distance, mouth opening and Wilson risk score are commonly used, but are not fool proof to anticipate difficult intubation.¹³

Thus, there is a necessity for a test, which is (a) easy and quick to perform, (b) highly sensitive (so that most of the difficult airway cases can be identified), (c) highly specific (so that false positive rate will be low when the test is used routinely), (d) have the least false positive and false negative values.⁴⁰ A single standard method is still not available that meets the criteria or a consensus regarding the reliability of the ideally preferred tests.^{41,42} Lately, thyromental height test (TMHT) has been put forward as one of the highly sensitive and specific bed side test to predict difficult airway.³

Etezadi et al³ substantiated the use of thyromental height test. They proposed that, there is a close relation between small thyromental height and occurrence of difficult laryngoscopy. In their study, an optimal cut-off value of 50mm was chosen. They observed that the test had high sensitivity, high specificity, high PPV, high NPV and high accuracy (82.66%, 99.31%, 90.47%, 98.63% and 98.08%) respectively at 50mm cut off. We also took a cut-off value of 50mm at which sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV and accuracy are (72.5%, 64.2%, 22.8%, 94.1% and 65.2%) respectively. In our study, 162 patients had TMH < 50mm and 238 patients had > 50mm. 12.6% patients had difficult laryngoscopy (C-L grade 3 and 4) and 87.4% patients had easy laryngoscopy (C-L grade 1 and 2). The sensitivity and NPV results of our study are comparable to the study conducted by Etezadi et al, but specificity, PPV and accuracy are remarkably lower.

An optimal cut-off value of 48 mm was chosen in our study. At this cut-off, the test had a sensitivity, specificity, PPV, NPV, and accuracy of 56.2%, 79.8%, 27.5%, 93.0%, and 77.0% respectively. At 48mm, specificity, NPV and accuracy results of our study are comparable to Etezadi et al study. But,

sensitivity and PPV are comparatively less. We found that 97 patients had TMH <48mm and 303 patients had TMH >48mm. In the total 400 patients studied, true positives, false positives, true negatives and false negatives detected by the test are 27, 71, 281, and 21 respectively.

In contradiction to Etezadi et al study, we couldn't substantiate the same efficiency of the test at 50mm or 48mm, however the test has high sensitivity, high NPV at 50mm, high specificity, high NPV at 48mm and less PPV at 50mm and 48mm.

Our study is almost identical to the study done by Nilesh et al⁴³ in sensitivity and NPV. But specificity, PPV, and accuracy are lower at 50mm cut off. Nilesh et al studied the efficacy of thyromental height test in patients undergoing coronary bypass graft surgery. In their study, they had taken a cut-off value equal to 50mm. On 345 patients, 9.3% had difficult laryngoscopy and 90.7% patients had easy laryngoscopy. They found that 33 patients had TMH <50mm and 312 patients had >50mm. True positive, false positive, true negative, and false negative results detected by the test were found to be 24, 9, 304, and 8, respectively. It showed sensitivity of 75%, specificity of 97%, PPV of 73%, NPV of 97% and accuracy of 95%. In our study, at cut off value of 48mm, the results obtained are all similar to Nilesh et al study at 50mm. But, PPV is lesser in our study.

We are able to verify the efficiency of TMHT at 50mm, with respect to sensitivity and NPV, but specificity of the test is better at 48mm which is similar to Nilesh et al study. But in contradiction to their study, PPV is poor in our study at 50mm and 48mm.

Selvi et al⁴⁴ assessed the reliability of thyromental height measurement test for prediction of difficult laryngoscopy in Turkish people. They compared the predictive values of various airway assessment tests (Modified Mallampati Test (MMT), Upper Lip Bite Test (ULBT), and Thyromental distance measurement test (TMD) along with thyromental height test. They found that TMHT has high sensitivity (91.89%) and high NPV (98.63%). However, specificity and PPV values are significantly decreased (52.2% and 14.7% respectively) at 50mm cut off point. Sensitivity (72.5%), specificity (64.2%) and NPV (94.1%) at 50mm cut off observed in our test are comparable to Selvi et al study.

They found the best compromise between sensitivity and specificity when they revised the cut off value of TMHT to 43.5mm. Sensitivity (64.86%), specificity (78.02%), PPV (20.87%) and NPV (96.13%) at this cut off are also comparable to our revised cutoff of 48mm. In their study among 451 patients, the test detected 24 true positives, 91 false positives, 323 true negatives, 13 false negatives at 43.5mm cut off. On comparison to Etezadi et al study, they couldn't verify the same efficiency of TMHT at either 50mm or 43.5mm. However, it could be verified with our study at both 50mm and 48mm cut off values.

As there are several anatomical variations of the airway with respect to age, sex and body habitus, there occurs varying results at different cut-off points compared to earlier studies. Efficacy of TMHT to predict difficult laryngoscopy also relies on patient's race and variations in airway anatomy. It is a must to mention that the results might be affected by anatomical differences and measurement errors.

Sensitivity is better at 50mm cut-off but specificity and accuracy are better at 48mm on comparison of efficacy of TMHT at 50mm and 48mm. As we are concerned with difficult laryngoscopy more than easy laryngoscopy, the test which detects more true positives and less false negatives than more true negatives has more clinical applicability. In accordance with our study, TMH value less than 50 mm can be used as an early warning system to alert the clinician about the probability of difficult intubation due to high sensitivity of 72.5%. This will point out most patients in whom intubation would be difficult in reality. As the NPV value is 94.1%, it can be predicted that the patients with TMH value greater than 50 mm will have easy intubation.

CONCLUSION:

Airway screening tests should be simple, convenient and practical. Despite the fact that their validity is variable and their ability to predict unexpected difficult intubations remains restricted, they are a crucial tool for anaesthesiologists. This study demonstrates the practicality of TMHT in predicting difficult intubation which has a good sensitivity and negative predictive value. But validation of this study would require further studies in more diverse patient population with regard to age, sex and race which may result in more revealing results. Further studies with larger sample size and different methodology concerning age, sex and race dependent variables are required to evaluate this test.

REFERENCES:

1. Aitkenhead A. Injuries associated with anaesthesia. A global perspective. Br J Anaesth. 2005;95(1):95-109.
2. Biebuyck J, Benumof J. Management of the Difficult Adult Airway With Special emphasis on Awake Tracheal Intubation. Anesthesiology. 1991;75(6):1087-1110.
3. Etezadi F, Ahangari A, Shokri H, Najafi A, Khajavi M, Daghigh M et al. Thyromental Height. Anesth Analg. 2013;117(6):1347-1351.
4. Caplan RA, Posner K L, Ward R J et al : Adverse respiratory events in

anaesthesia : A closed claims analysis : Anaesthesiology 72 : 828 , 1990.

5. Miller CG: Management of the difficult intubation in closed malpractice

claims I:ASA newsletter.2000;64(6)13-16and19.

6. LARGERON O, MASSON E, HARAUX C, GUGGIARI M , BIARELI A , CORIAT P , et al,

Prediction of mask ventilation. Anaesthesia 2000 92 ;1229-1235.

7. COBLEY M, VAUGHAN R. Recognition and management of difficult airway

problems. Br J Anaesth. 1992;68(1):90-97.

8. JAHN A, BLITZER A.A short history of laryngoscopy. Logoped Phoniatr

Vocol.1996;21(3 4):181-185.

9. PATIL VU, STEHLING LC, ZAUNDER HL. Fiberoptic Endoscopy in Anesthesia.

Chicago, Year Book Medical, 1983, p 79.

10. CORMACK RS, LEHANE J. Difficult tracheal intubation in obstetrics. Anaesthesia

1984;39(11):1105-11.

11. MALLAMPATI SR, GATT SP, GUGINO LD, DESAI SP, FREIBERGER D, LIU PL. A

clinical sign to predict difficult tracheal intubation: A prospective study. Can

Anaesth Soc J 1985;32:429-34.

12. Samssoon GL, Young JR. Difficult tracheal intubation: a retrospective study. *Anaesthesia* 1987;42(5):487-90.
13. Wilson ME, Spiegelhalter D, Robertson JA, Lesser P. Predicting difficult intubation. *Br J Anaesth* 1988;61:211-6.
14. Reissell E, Orko R, Maunuksela EL, Lindgren L. Predictability of difficult laryngoscopy in patients with long term diabetes mellitus. *Anaesthesia* 1990;45:1024-1027.
15. Oates JD, Macleod AD, Oates PD, Pearsall FJ, Howie JC, Murray GD, et al. Comparison of two methods for predicting difficult intubation. *Br J Anaesth* 1991;66:305-9.
16. Frerk CM. Predicting difficult intubation. *Anaesthesia* 1991;46:1005-1008.
17. Chou HC, Wu TL. Mandibulohyoid distance in direct laryngoscopy. *Br J Anaesth* 1993;71:335.
18. Savva D. Prediction of difficult tracheal intubation. *Br J Anaesth* 1994;73(2):149-53.
19. Tse JC, Rimm EB, Hussain A. Predicting difficult endotracheal intubation in surgical patients scheduled for general anesthesia: a prospective blind study.

Anesth Analg 1995;81(2):254-8.

20. Karkouti K, Rose DK, Ferris LE, Wigglesworth DF, Meisami-Fard T, Lee H.

Interobserver reliability of the tests used for predicting difficult intubation.

Can J Anaesth. 1996 Jun;43(6):554-9.

21. Jacobson T, Jenson E, Walden T, Poulson TD. Preoperative evaluation of
intubation condition in patients scheduled for elective surgery. Acta

Anaesthesiol Scand 1996;40:421-424.

22. Rose K., Cohen MM. The incidence of airway problems depends on definition
used. Can J Anaesth 1996;43:30-34.

23. El-Ganzouri A, McCarthy R, Tuman K, Tanck E, Ivankovich A. Preoperative
Airway Assessment. Anesth Analg. 1996;82(6):1197-1204.

24. Ramadhani S, Mohamed L, Rocke D, Gouws E. Sternomental distance as the
sole predictor of difficult laryngoscopy in obstetric anaesthesia. Br J Anaesth.
1996;77(3):312-316.

25. Ezri T, Warters RD, Szmuk P. The incidence of class “zero” airway and the
impact of Mallampati score, age, sex, body mass index on prediction of
laryngoscopy grade. Anesth Analg 2001;93:1073-5.

26. Saghei M, Safavi MR. Prediction of prolonged laryngoscopy. *Anaesthesia* 2001;56:1181-201.

27. O'Connor MF. Airway assessment of 25000 patients in a preoperative clinic. *Anesth Analg* 2002;94:S113.

28. Schmitt HJ, Kirmse M, Radespiel-Troger M. Ratio of Patient's Height to Thyromental Distance Improves Prediction of Difficult Laryngoscopy. *Anaesth Intensive Care* 2002;30:763-765.

29. Jacob R, George SP. Predictability of airway evaluation indices in diabetic patients. *Indian J Anaesth* 2003;47:476-78.

30. Iohom G, Ronayne M, Cunningham A. Prediction of difficult tracheal intubation. *Eur J Anaesthesiol.* 2003;20(1):31-36.

31. Shiga T, Wajima Z, Inoue T, Sakamoto A. Predicting difficult intubation in apparently normal patients: a meta-analysis of bedside screening test performance. *Anesthesiology* 2005;103(2):429-37.

32. Lee A, Fan L, Gin T, Karmakar M, Ngan Kee W. A Systematic Review (Meta-Analysis) of the Accuracy of the Mallampati Tests to Predict the Difficult Airway. *Anesth Analg.* 2006;102(6):1867-1878.

33. Lundstrom LH, Vester-Andersen M, Møller AM, Charuluxananan S, L'hermite J, Wetterslev J; Danish Anaesthesia Database. Poor prognostic value of the modified Mallampati score: a meta-analysis involving 177 088 patients. *Br J Anaesth* 2011;107:659–67.
34. Prakash S, Kumar A, Bhandari S, Mullick P, Gogia A, Singh R. Difficult laryngoscopy and intubation in the Indian population: An assessment of anatomical and clinical risk factors. *Indian J Anaesth.* 2013;57(6):569.
35. Rucker J, Cole D, Guerina L, Zoran N, Chung F, Friedman Z. A prospective observational evaluation of an anatomically guided, logically formulated airway measure to predict difficult laryngoscopy. *Eur J Anaesthesiol.* 2012;29(5):213-217.
36. Ellis H, Feldman S; *Anatomy for anaesthetists*, 8th edition, Blackwell publishing 2004, 26-42.
37. Benumof J, *Airway management principal and practice*. St Louis MO; Mosby yearbook 1996. 121-143.
38. Hagberg CA, Artime CA. Airway management in the adult. In: Miller RD, Cohen NH, Eriksson LI, Fleisher LA, Wiener-Kronish JP, Young WL, editors. *Miller's Anesthesia*. 8th ed. Philadelphia: Elsevier, Churchill Livingstone; 2014. Pg-1666-67.

39. American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Management of the Difficult Airway: Practice guidelines for management of the difficult airway: An updated report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Management of the Difficult Airway. *Anesthesiology* 2013;118:251-70.

40. Aktas S, Atalay YO, Tugrul M. Predictive value of bedside tests for difficult intubations. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2015;19:1595-9.

41. Randell T. Prediction of difficult intubation. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 1996;40:1016-23.

42. Yildiz TS, Korkmaz F, Solak M, Toker K, Erciyes N, Bayrak F, et al. Prediction of difficult tracheal intubation in Turkish patients: a multi-center methodological study. *Eur J Anaesthesiol* 2007;24: 1034-40.

43. Jain N, Das S, Kanchi M. Thyromental height test for prediction of difficult laryngoscopy in patients undergoing coronary artery bypass graft surgical procedure. *Ann Card Anaesth* 2017;20:207-11.

44. Selvi O, Kahraman T, Senturk O, Tulgar S, Serifsoy E, Ozer Z. Evaluation of the reliability of preoperative descriptive airway assessment tests in prediction of the Cormack-Lehane score: A prospective randomized clinical study. *Journal of Clinical Anesthesia* 2017;36: 21–26.

UNDER PEER REVIEW