

**IN VIVO EFFICACY OF ZINC SOLUBILIZING BACTERIA ON  
AVAILABLE ZINC CONTENT, GROWTH AND YIELD ATTRIBUTES OF  
PADDY**

**Abstract**

The present experiment is aimed at assessing the effect of zinc solubilizing isolates on the available zinc content, growth and yield attributes of paddy. The study was conducted using randomised block design at the experimental plots of Agricultural Research Station, Dhadesugur. The isolates namely MZSB 6 and MZSB 8 were tested for *in vitro* solubilization of the zinc and later brought under field condition. 25 day old paddy seedlings were dipped in lignate based biofertilizer slurry and transplanted according to treatments. Observations were taken at regular intervals and available plant zinc content was estimated using Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometry. Growth and yield parameters of paddy showed a significant increase in the treatment that received combination of MZSB 6, MZSB 8 and 75 % recommended dose of fertilizer (RDF) as compared to control and other treatments. It also showed the highest available zinc of 46.18 mg of kg<sup>-1</sup> of plant estimated using ICP-MS. Thus, the combination of both isolates with 75 % RDF was found to be efficient.

---

**Key words:** Zinc, *in vitro*, lignite, RDF, ICP-MS, *etc.*,

## 22 Introduction

23 Rice (*Oryza Sativa* L.) is one of the significant sustenance crops and biggest yield  
24 developed on the planet as far as both area and production are concerned. The greater part the  
25 total populace relies upon rice, particularly in developing nations. It gives around 90 % of  
26 carbohydrates and 8 % of protein. All-around world, rice is developed in an area about 161.40  
27 million hectares and production of about 487.50 million tonnes with a productivity of 3.14 tons  
28 per hectare. In India, rice is being produced with an area of 43.993 million hectares and positions  
29 second underway (109.698 million tons) alongside China ([www.statista.com](http://www.statista.com)). India sends out  
30 9.3 million tons of rice to the nations around the globe. The significant rice-growing states in  
31 India are Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Bihar, Uttar Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, West Bengal, and  
32 Punjab. In Karnataka, rice is being grown in an area of 1.03 million hectares with the yearly  
33 generation of 2.604 million tones and productivity is observed to be 2494 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>.

34 The primary situation in India for rice production entails excessive rainfall/drought  
35 stipulations, prolonged utilization of typical varieties due to scarcity of elevated seed types or  
36 lack of talents in farmers about them, heavy infestation of weeds, pests and diseases, low soil  
37 fertility, indiscriminate use of fertilizers and many other which effect in reduced rice production.  
38 Amongst these, low soil fertility is an important component which now not only influences the  
39 rice production but also reduces the quality of the rice. Chaudhary *et al.* (2007) suggested Zn  
40 deficiency as the main component which decides the rice production in a number of constituents  
41 of India. In accordance with Singh (2009), 48% of soils in India are dealing with Zn deficiency.  
42 In rice, Zn deficiency factors a couple of symptoms that most of the time show up 3 weeks after  
43 transplanting the seedlings; leaves advance brown blotches and streaks that will fuse to quilt

44 older leaves, vegetation remain stunted and in severe instances could die, even as these which  
45 recover exhibit lengthen in maturity and reduction in yield (Vaid *et al.*, 2014).

46 One of the vital viable approaches to develop crop productiveness and food quality  
47 without causing any damage to the ecosystem is the usage of plant growth-promoting  
48 rhizobacteria (PGPR). There are a couple of reviews in which PGPR were proved as good  
49 replacement to chemical compounds for increasing the plant development and yield which can  
50 aid in minimizing agrochemicals usage. The PGPR would colonize the rhizosphere, root surface,  
51 and internal tissues and accordingly render improvements to the nutrient availability and hinder  
52 the pathogens close the roots. The mechanisms wherein PGPR enhance plant progress include N-  
53 fixation, inorganic P solubilization, siderophore production, phytohormone synthesis and with  
54 the aid of controlling plant pathogens (Lugtenberg and Kamilova, 2009). Distinct plant growth-  
55 promoting bacteria including free-living and associative for example *Azospirillum*, *Azotobacter*,  
56 *Bacillus*, and *Pseudomonas* were used in agricultural practices as biofertilizers for their benefits  
57 on plant growth (Tilak *et al.*, 1982).

58 The present study was conducted with an aim selecting the efficient combination of zinc  
59 solubilizing bacterial isolates based on their effect on the plant growth and yield parameters. We  
60 also aim to develop inoculants into commercialization potential along with proper dosage of  
61 fertilizers which is a major challenge preventing the bio inoculants production technology. Such  
62 isolates blend with satisfactory amount of inorganic composts and expand the bioavailability of  
63 zinc to the rice plant, promote the nutrient recycling and increase the growth and yield of the  
64 crop simultaneously contributing to the sustainable ecosystem.

65

## 66 **Material and methods**

67           The zinc solubilizing bacteria used in this experiment were obtained from the Department  
68 of Agricultural Microbiology, UAS Raichur. The isolates were previously studied for its ability  
69 to solubilize the inorganic zinc under *in vitro* conditions and various plant growth-promoting  
70 properties such as production of indole acetic acid, siderophore, and phosphate solubilization.

## 71 **Field experiment**

72           The main field was well prepared for transplantation and divided into plots with three  
73 replications. 25-day old rice seedlings were uprooted from nursery, treated with inoculants  
74 accordingly and transplanted into the plots with the spacing of 25 cm × 25 cm.

## 75 **Inoculum preparation**

76           24 hrs old cultures were inoculated into 250 ml conical flask containing 100 ml sterilized  
77 nutrient broth; incubated on a shaker for 3 days for development of mother culture.  
78 Simultaneously, two liters of nutrient broth was prepared in a round bottom flask separately for  
79 each inoculant. 40 ml of mother culture was inoculated into flasks and they were incubated  
80 for development of inoculum upto  $10^7$  CFU ml<sup>-1</sup> which was later confirmed by serial dilution and  
81 agar plating method.

## 82 **Carrier material**

83           Lignite powder was used as a carrier material. It was sterilized using autoclave at 121 °C,  
84 15 lb pressure for 30 minutes. Later, the broth culture was mixed thoroughly with the sterilized  
85 carrier material in the ratio of 1:2.5 shade dried to bring down the the moisture levels to 30 %.

86

87 **Seedling root dip**

88 One kg of bioinoculant was mixed with five liters of water in a bucket and was mixed  
89 thoroughly. The roots of the seedling bundles were dipped in the bioinoculant suspension for  
90 about 30 minutes and were taken out. The seedlings root coated with biofertilizer were  
91 transplanted immediately to the main field

92 **Growth and yield parameters**

93 Plant growth attributes such as plant height (cm) and the number of tillers per hill were  
94 observed at regular intervals. Yield attributes like panicle length (cm), the number of grains per  
95 panicle, and grain yield were observed at the time of harvest.

96 **Estimation of available zinc in plants using ICP-MS**

97 The plant samples were ground to obtain a homogenous portion for analysis. 0.25 gm of  
98 ground plant samples were weighed into the digestion vessel and 7 ml of nitric acid and 0.5 ml of  
99 hydrogen peroxide were added carefully. Digestion vessel was covered and incubated for 10-15  
100 minutes in the hood at room temperature. Then the digestion vessel containing homogenized  
101 plant sample material was transferred into microwave digester. Digestion process was carried out  
102 for 80 minutes. The sealed pressure vessel was cooled to ambient temperature to reduce pressure  
103 inside the digestion vessel. After digestion and cooling, digestion vessel was removed from  
104 microwave digester and was kept in a fume hood until brown fumes were no longer visible. This  
105 sample solution was filtered using nylon membrane filter. The filtered sample was used for  
106 analysis by using ICP-MS

107

108

109 **Chart 1: Chemicals:**

<b>Chemical</b>	<b>Grade</b>
Nitric Acid	Supra pure, JT Baker
Hydrogen Peroxide	Supra pure, JT Baker
Water	Milli-Q
Stock solutions of Zn	Perkin Elmer, 1000 $\mu$ g/ml

110

111 **Chart 2: Equipment:**

<b>Instrument / Equipment</b>	<b>Make</b>
ICP-MS	Perkin Elmer Nexion 350X
Weighing Balance	SARTORIUS-BSA 224S-CW
Microwave Digester	Titan MPS
Micro Pipettes- 0.2 ml, 1 ml	Eppendorf
Measuring cylinder- 10 ml	Rankem
Volumetric flask- 25 ml, 50 ml	Rankem

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

119 **Chart 3: Instrumental parameters:**

ICP-MS	Perkin Elmer Nexion 350X
Source	Plasma
ICP RF Power	1600 Watts
Argon Pressure	90-100 Psi
Helium Pressure	25 Psi
Plasma gas flow	18L /min
Nebulizer gas flow	0.92 L/min
Auxiliary gas flow	1.92 L/min
Pump Speed	20 rpm

120

121 **Statistical analysis of the data**

122 Randomized Block Design with 3 replications was followed for the analysis of data. The  
123 data were subjected to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) of Snedecor and Cochran (1969).

124 **Results and discussion**

125 The field experiment was conducted during Jan-May 2018 to study the effect of zinc  
126 solubilizing bacteria on growth and yield of paddy and the details of the systematic study are  
127 unveiled below. Two efficient isolates of zinc solubilizing bacteria were evaluated under field  
128 conditions for their individual and interaction effect on growth and yield of paddy in comparison  
129 with reference. The test inoculants MZSB-6 and MZSB-8 were prepared as per the standard  
130 procedure and were applied to the main field in the plots as per treatments. The uninoculated plot  
131 served as a control

## 132 **Plant height**

133            Significant differences in the plant height of rice were observed at 30, 60, 90 DAS and at  
134 harvest due to various inoculation treatments and fertilizer application. On 30<sup>th</sup> DAT, the pooled  
135 data shows that there was a significant difference between combined applications of the  
136 inoculants when compared to treatments with individual inoculation. The combined inoculation  
137 in treatment T<sub>8</sub> (MZSB 6 + MZSB 8 + 75 % RDF) showed maximum plant height of 31.2 cm  
138 followed by treatment T<sub>2</sub> (100 % NPK +ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) with 30.5 cm and these two treatments were  
139 significant with each other. The individual inoculation of zinc solubilizing bacterial inoculants in  
140 treatment T<sub>3</sub> (MZSB 6) showed a plant height of 23.5 cm whereas treatment T<sub>4</sub> (MZSB 8)  
141 recorded plant height of 24.3 cm and these two treatments were significant to each other.  
142 Individual inoculation of treatment T<sub>5</sub> (reference strain) recorded plant height of 25.1 cm. The  
143 control recorded lowest plant height of 20.2 cm. On 60<sup>th</sup> DAT, the combined inoculation in  
144 treatment T<sub>8</sub> showed significant plant height of 60.2 cm when compared to T<sub>2</sub> which recorded  
145 57.2 cm. Combined inoculations were superior and significantly different when compared with  
146 individual inoculation. The individual inoculation in treatment T<sub>3</sub> showed a plant height of 48.5  
147 cm and T<sub>4</sub> showed 49.2 cm those were non-significant to each other. Individual reference strain  
148 showed plant height 50.2 cm. The control recorded lowest plant height of 45.2 cm. On 90<sup>th</sup> DAT,  
149 T<sub>8</sub> recorded plant height of 83.2 cm and T<sub>2</sub> recorded 81.5 cm and these two were non-significant  
150 to each other. Similarly, T<sub>3</sub> showed 70.3 cm of plant height and T<sub>4</sub> showed 71.2 cm of plant  
151 height which was non-significant to each other. However, combined inoculation was superior  
152 and significantly higher to individual inoculation. Treatment T<sub>5</sub> recorded plant height of 72.3 cm.  
153 The control recorded lowest plant height of 68.2 cm. At harvest, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>8</sub> recorded plant height  
154 of 83.2 cm and 85.2 cm respectively which were significant to each other. Similar proceedings



155 were followed for individual inoculation wherein T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub> recorded plant height of 73.5 cm and  
156 74.2 cm respectively. Treatment T<sub>5</sub> with individual inoculation reference strain showed plant  
157 height of 75.2 cm. The control recorded the lowest plant height of 70.2 cm.

### 158 **Total number of tillers per hill**

159 Significant differences in the number of tillers per hill of rice were observed at 30, 60, 90  
160 DAS and at harvest due to various inoculation treatments and fertilizer application. On 30<sup>th</sup>  
161 DAT, the pooled data insisted that there was a significant difference between combined  
162 application of inoculants when compared to treatments with individual inoculation. The  
163 combined inoculation in treatment T<sub>8</sub> (MZSB 6 + MZSB 8 + 75 % RDF) showed maximum  
164 number of tillers per hill (6.12) followed by treatment T<sub>2</sub> (100 % NPK + ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) with 5.25 tillers  
165 per hill and these two treatments were significant with each other. The individual inoculation of  
166 zinc solubilizing bacterial inoculants in treatment T<sub>3</sub> (MZSB 6) showed 4.01 whereas treatment  
167 T<sub>4</sub> (MZSB 8) recorded 4.21 tillers per hill and these two treatments were nonsignificant to each  
168 other. Individual inoculation of treatment T<sub>5</sub> (reference strain) recorded 4.25 number tillers per  
169 hill. The control recorded 4.00 tillers per hill. On 60<sup>th</sup> DAT, the combined inoculation in  
170 treatment T<sub>8</sub> showed 8.25 tillers per hill when compared to T<sub>2</sub> which recorded 7.51 tillers per hill.  
171 Combined inoculations were superior and significantly different when compared with individual  
172 inoculation. The individual inoculation in treatment T<sub>3</sub> showed 5.01 tillers per hill and T<sub>4</sub> showed  
173 5.12 which were non-significant to each other. Individual reference strain showed 5.25 tillers per  
174 hill. The control recorded lowest number of tillers per hill (4.56). On 90<sup>th</sup> DAT, T<sub>8</sub> recorded of  
175 11.2 and T<sub>2</sub> recorded 9.21 tillers per hill and these two were non-significant to each other.  
176 Similarly, T<sub>3</sub> showed 6.0 and T<sub>4</sub> showed 6.12 tillers per hill which were non-significant to each  
177 other. However, combined inoculation was superior and significantly higher to individual

178 inoculation. Treatment T<sub>5</sub> recorded 6.35 tillers per hill. The control recorded 5.24 tillers per hill.  
179 At harvest, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>8</sub> recorded 10.2 and 12.5 tillers per hill respectively which were significant  
180 to each other. Similar proceedings were followed for individual inoculation wherein T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>4</sub>  
181 recorded 6.01 and 6.18 tillers per hill respectively as observed at harvest. Treatment T<sub>5</sub> with  
182 individual inoculation of reference strain showed 6.85 tillers per hill. The control recorded the  
183 lowest number of tillers per hill (6.21).

184 These two bacteria were studied previously for Zn and P solubilization, siderophore, acid  
185 production, and IAA production. Thus, these characters are responsible for the increase in the  
186 growth of the plants. Several workers have reported the beneficial effects of different strains of  
187 *Burkholderia*, *Acinetobacter*, *Bacillus*, *Enterobacter*, *Alcaligenes*, *Arthrobacter*, *Azospirillum*,  
188 *Azotobacter*, *Beijerinckia*, *Erwinia*, *Flavobacterium*, *Pseudomonas*, *Rhizobium* and *Serratia* and  
189 identified them as prominent PGPR's (Rodriguez and Fraga, 1999).

## 190 **Yield parameters**

### 191 **Panicle length**

192 The combined inoculation in T<sub>8</sub> (MZSB 6 + MZSB 8 + 75 % RDF) recorded 21.4 cm of  
193 panicle length and T<sub>2</sub> (100 % RDF + ZnSO<sub>4</sub>) which showed 20.4 cm of panicle length. The  
194 treatments were significant to each other. However, T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>8</sub> were significant to single  
195 inoculation in T<sub>3</sub> (MZSB 6) which recorded 17.1 cm of panicle length and T<sub>4</sub> (MZSB 8) which  
196 showed 17.2 cm of panicle length. Similarly, single inoculation in T<sub>6</sub> (MZSB 6 + 75 % RDF)  
197 recorded 19.1 cm and T<sub>7</sub> (MZSB 8 + 75 % RDF) recorded 19.2 cm of panicle length which was  
198 significantly lower to T<sub>7</sub> and T<sub>8</sub>. The data pertaining to the panicle length showed that  
199 application of MZSB 6 and MZSB 8 along with 75 % RDF significantly increased the length of

200 panicle at harvest of the crop. The control recorded significantly lower (15.2 cm) panicle length  
201 compared to reference strain while it recorded readings of 17.5 cm of panicle length.

### 202 **Total number of seeds per panicle**

203 The maximum of a total number of grains per panicle was observed in T<sub>8</sub> (220), which  
204 received the treatment combination of MZSB 6 and MZSB 8 along with 75 % RDF. Followed by  
205 T<sub>7</sub> (215) that was treated with RDF (100 % NPK) along with ZnSO<sub>4</sub>. The minimum was  
206 observed in T<sub>1</sub> (150) which was control. Treatments namely T<sub>3</sub> showed 173 seeds per panicle, T<sub>4</sub>  
207 recorded 178 seeds per panicle and T<sub>5</sub> showed 180 seeds per panicle in which the inoculant was  
208 reference strain. There was no significant difference between them. The observations recorded in  
209 T<sub>6</sub> and T<sub>7</sub> were 210 and 215 respectively which received individual inoculants (MZSB 6 and  
210 MZSB 8, respectively) along with 75 % RDF. But there was a significant difference observed in  
211 the treatments than the control.

### 212 **Grain yield**

213 The maximum grain yield was observed in T<sub>8</sub> which yielded 5245 kg/ha which received a  
214 dual application of MZSB6 and MZSB8 along with 75 % RDF. The minimum grain yield was  
215 observed in T<sub>1</sub> (3215 kg/ha) which is control. The grain yield increased in all the treatments over  
216 control. The individual inoculations in treatment T<sub>3</sub> (MZSB 6) yielded 3985 Kg/ha and T<sub>4</sub>  
217 (MZSB 8) yielded 4025 Kg/ha and were non-significant to each other. However, combined  
218 inoculations were significantly superior to their individual inoculations. The individual  
219 inoculation of zinc solubilizing bacteria in T<sub>6</sub> (MZSB 6 + 75 % RDF) yielded 4753 Kg/ha and T<sub>7</sub>  
220 (MZSB 8 + 75 % RDF) which yielded 4865 Kg/ha. The treatments were non-significant to each  
221 other. The individual inoculation of reference strain in T<sub>5</sub> yielded 4125 Kg/ha which was

222 significantly lower than the combined inoculations of MZSB 6 and MZSB 8 along with 75 %  
223 RDF. T<sub>2</sub> which received RDF (100 % NPK) and inorganic zinc amendment *i.e.* ZnSO<sub>4</sub> recorded  
224 5132 Kg/ha which was nonsignificant to the T<sub>8</sub> which received dual inoculants along with 75 %  
225 RDF. The control recorded significantly lower grain yield of 3215 Kg/ha compared to all the  
226 treatments

### 227 **Straw yield**

228 The maximum straw yield was observed in T<sub>8</sub> (5717 kg/ha) which received a dual  
229 application of MZSB 6 and MZSB 8 along with 75 % RDF. The minimum grain yield was  
230 observed in T<sub>1</sub> (3504 kg/ha) which is control. The straw yield increased in all the treatments over  
231 control. The individual inoculations in treatment T<sub>3</sub> (MZSB 6) yielded 4344 kg/ha and T<sub>4</sub> (MZSB  
232 8) yielded 4387 kg/ha and were non-significant to each other. However, combined inoculations  
233 were significantly superior to their individual inoculations. The individual inoculation of zinc  
234 solubilizing bacteria in T<sub>6</sub> (MZSB 6 + 75 % RDF) yielded 5181 Kg/ha and T<sub>7</sub> (MZSB 8 + 75 %  
235 RDF) which yielded 5303 Kg/ha. The treatments were non-significant to each other. The  
236 individual inoculation of reference strain in T<sub>5</sub> yielded 4496 Kg/ha which was significantly lower  
237 than the combined inoculations of MZSB 6 and MZSB 8 along with 75 % RDF. T<sub>2</sub> which  
238 received RDF (100 % NPK) and inorganic zinc amendment *i.e.* ZnSO<sub>4</sub> recorded 5594 Kg/ha  
239 which was significantly inferior to the T<sub>8</sub> which received dual inoculants along with 75 % RDF.  
240 The control recorded significantly lower straw yield of 3504 Kg/ha compared to all the  
241 treatments.

### 242 **Estimation of available zinc in plants using ICP-MS**

243 Available zinc in plants was highest of 46.18 mg/kg in the treatment T<sub>8</sub> which had dual  
244 bacterial culture of both MZSB 6, MZSB 8 along with the 75 % of RDF followed by T<sub>2</sub> having

245 100 % NPK and when compared to reference (T<sub>5</sub>) which had 27.46 mg/kg of available zinc and  
246 the minimum available zinc was noted in control.

247 The results agree with the observations of other workers. Vaid *et al.*, (2014) reported that  
248 the effect of 160 *Burkholderia sp.* SG1 (BC), *Acinetobacter sp.* SG2 (AX) and *Acinetobacter sp.*  
249 SG3 (AB) isolated from rice fields deficit in Zn on the growth parameters and Zn nutrition of  
250 rice plants was significantly high and found that the co-inoculation of rice seedlings with isolated  
251 *Burkholderia* and *Acinetobacter* strains significantly increased the number of productive tillers  
252 plant<sup>-1</sup>. Similarly, Mohite (2013) reported that inoculation of wheat seedlings with rhizosphere  
253 soil isolates significantly increase the plant height, root length and chlorophyll content over the  
254 control. In our study, we observed that bacterial inoculations were effective in enhancing the Zn  
255 uptake in plants.

256

257

258 **Table 1. Plant height of transplanted rice as influenced by the application of microbial**  
 259 **inoculants**

260

Treatment	Plant height (cm)			
	30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	At harvest
T <sub>1</sub> -Control	20.2	45.2	68.2	70.2
T <sub>2</sub> - RDF (100 % NPK) + ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	30.5	57.2	81.5	83.2
T <sub>3</sub> - MZSB 6	23.5	48.5	70.3	73.5
T <sub>4</sub> - MZSB 8	24.3	49.2	71.2	74.2
T <sub>5</sub> - Reference strain	25.1	50.2	72.3	75.2
T <sub>6</sub> - MZSB 6 + 75 % RDF	27.6	55.1	79.5	81.5
T <sub>7</sub> -MZSB 8 + 75 % RDF	28.5	56.3	80.2	82.1
T <sub>8</sub> - MZSB 6 + MZSB 8 + 75 % RDF	31.2	60.2	83.2	85.2

261

262

263 **Table 2. Number of tillers per hill of transplanted rice as influenced by the application of**  
 264 **microbial inoculants**

Treatment	Number of tillers/hill			
	30 DAT	60 DAT	90 DAT	At harvest
T <sub>1</sub> - Control	4.00	4.56	5.24	6.21
T <sub>2</sub> - RDF (100 % NPK) + ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	5.25	7.51	9.21	10.2
T <sub>3</sub> - MZSB 6	4.01	5.01	6.00	6.01
T <sub>4</sub> - MZSB 8	4.21	5.12	6.12	6.18
T <sub>5</sub> - Reference strain	4.25	5.25	6.35	6.85
T <sub>6</sub> - MZSB 6 + 75 % RDF	5.05	7.02	8.12	9.15
T <sub>7</sub> - MZSB 8 + 75 % RDF	5.21	7.12	8.25	9.81
T <sub>8</sub> - MZSB 6 + MZSB 8 + 75 % RDF	6.12	8.25	11.2	12.5

266 **Table 3. Yield parameters of transplanted rice as influenced by the application of bacterial**  
 267 **inoculants**

Treatment	Yield parameters			
	Panicle length (cm)	No. of seeds/panicle	Grain yield (kg/ha)	Straw yield (kg/ha)
T <sub>1</sub> - Control	15.2	150	3215	3504
T <sub>2</sub> - RDF (100 % NPK) + ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	20.4	210	5132	5594
T <sub>3</sub> - MZSB 6	17.1	173	3985	4344
T <sub>4</sub> - MZSB 8	17.2	178	4025	4387
T <sub>5</sub> - Reference strain	17.5	180	4125	4496
T <sub>6</sub> - MZSB 6 + 75 % RDF	19.1	210	4753	5181
T <sub>7</sub> - MZSB 8 + 75 % RDF	19.2	215	4865	5303
T <sub>8</sub> - MZSB 6 + MZSB 8 + 75 % RDF	21.4	220	5245	5717

268

269



270 **Table 4. Estimation of available zinc in plants using ICP-MS**

Treatment	Available Zn (mg/kg)
T <sub>1</sub> -Control	27.08
T <sub>2</sub> - RDF (100 % NPK) + ZnSO <sub>4</sub>	31.39
T <sub>3</sub> - MZSB 6	27.46
T <sub>4</sub> - MZSB 8	27.89
T <sub>5</sub> -Reference strain	28.03
T <sub>6</sub> - MZSB 6 + 75 % RDF	29.46
T <sub>7</sub> - MZSB 8 + 75 % RDF	30.06
T <sub>8</sub> - MZSB 6 + MZSB 8 + 75 % RDF	46.18

271

272

273

274

275

276 **Conclusion**

277           Field experiment clearly indicated that the strains have positively influenced plant growth  
278 attributes *viz.*, plant height and number of tillers as well as yield parameters such as of panicle  
279 length, number of grains per panicle, grain yield, and straw yield. The results from the present  
280 work have evidently proved the advantage of combining MZSB 6 and MZSB 8 along with 75 %  
281 RDF. Therefore, this study indicated that the growth and yield of rice would be improved by the  
282 application of zinc solubilizing bacteria along with the nutrient management by reducing at least  
283 25 % of the recommended dosage of fertilizers.

284

UNDER PEER REVIEW

285 **References**

286 Chaudhary SK, Thakur SK, Pandey AK, Response of wetland rice to nitrogen and Zinc. *Oryza*,  
287 20007;**44**(1): 44-47.

288 Lugtenberg B, Kamilova F, Plant growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 2009;  
289 63: 541-556.

290 Mohite B, Isolation and characterization of Indole Acetic Acid (IAA) producing bacteria from  
291 rhizospheric soil and its effect on plant growth. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 2013; **13**(3): 638-  
292 649.

293 Rodriguez H, Fraga R, Phosphate solubilizing bacteria and their role in plant growth promotion.  
294 *Bio. Technol. Adv.* 1999; 17: 319-339.

295 Singh MV, Micro nutritional problem in soils of India and improvement for human and animal  
296 health. *Ind. J. Fert.*, 2009; **5**(4):11-16

297 Snedecor GM and Cochran WC, Statistical Methods.6<sup>th</sup> Ed. *Iowa State Univ.* 1969.

298 Tilak BR, Singh CS, Roy NK, Subbarao NS, *Azospirillum brasilense* and *Azotobacter*  
299 *chroococcum* inoculums: Effect on yield of maize (*Zea mays*) and sorghum (*Sorghum*  
300 *bicolor*). *Soil. Biol. Biochem.* 1982; 14: 417-418.

301 Vaid SK, Kumar B, Sharma A, Shukla AK and Srivastava PC, Effect of zinc solubilizing  
302 bacteria on growth promotion and zinc nutrition of rice. *J. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.*, 2014;  
303 **14**(4): 889-91.

304